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## Executive Summary

Gender-based violence (GBV) is associated with the incidence of HIV infections, sexually transmitted infections, unplanned pregnancies, and other health problems; it is also a human rights violation. Government and nongovernmental groups around the world are working to improve men's and women's knowledge of, attitudes on, and perceptions about gender equity and GBV.

At the request of the Angola Mission of the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), the RESPOND Project developed and implemented a GBV awareness campaign in Cazenga, a low-income submunicipality of Luanda, the capital of Angola. The program was created in partnership with two local nongovernmental agencies: the Women's Christian Union (UCF) and the Forum for AIDS Support and Solidarity (FOJASSIDA). This report presents the key evaluation results for that campaign.

## The Context for GBV in Angola

According to a national survey, approximately one-fourth of married women aged 15 to 49 in Angola have experienced physical violence (COSEP Consultoria, 2012). Women in Angola have a relatively low level of legal protection in relation to family matters. Angolan women have very little financial independence. In 2011, the government enacted a law criminalizing domestic violence, but knowledge and enforcement of the law remain low. The law also included the obligation to develop various services for women, most of which are still in progress.

## The Campaign: "Together We Can End Domestic Violence"

The GBV awareness campaign challenged norms that support the perpetration of GBV, promoted healthy relationships and equitable norms, and encouraged bystanders to respond to GBV. The campaign adapted EngenderHealth's Men As Partners ${ }^{\circledR}$ (MAP ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) approach, which encourages men to jointly and individually question norms that promote risky behavior, including GBV. Gender norms are relational and are reinterpreted continuously with relationship dynamics; thus, it is important to work with both males and females to promote lasting changes in terms of attitudes and relationships. Therefore, in this campaign, the MAP ${ }^{\circledR}$ approach was modified to be gender-synchronized-meaning that the campaign sought to engage both men and women (as opposed to focusing on men) to reflect about gender inequity and GBV.

A working group made up of representatives from community-based organizations guided the campaign, which began on March 30, 2013, and ended on August 3, 2013. Campaign activists distributed materials, conducted theater performances, and facilitated group discussions about GBV and its causes and consequences. Campaign activists and the working group contributed to the development of campaign materials such as posters, brochures, radio spots, comic books, and newsletters.

## The Evaluation

A quasi-experimental survey was conducted before and after the campaign to evaluate its impact on knowledge and attitudes related to GBV. Most survey questions were adapted from existing validated instruments. The study did not use a contemporaneous control group.

The primary objective of the evaluation was to determine whether exposure to the awareness campaign reduced by at least 10 percentage points the proportion of individuals who believe that GBV is justified. Secondary objectives were to measure exposure to the awareness campaign; to determine whether beliefs or attitudes toward GBV or gender-normative behaviors (such as decision making) improved by 15 percentage points or more after exposure to the awareness campaign; and to determine whether respondents would be at least $15 \%$ percentage points more likely to intervene in an incident of GBV after exposure to the awareness campaign.

Adult men and women between the ages of 18 and 49 were randomly sampled for participation in the household surveys; all respondents resided in a neighborhood of Cazenga District. Participation was voluntary, and individuals were not compensated for their participation. Before interviews began, respondents provided oral consent.

## Results

In the baseline survey, 405 men and 299 women were interviewed; at endline, 415 men and 307 women were interviewed. Forty-eight percent of endline respondents stated that they were interviewed in the baseline survey. Comparing findings at baseline and endline, women's attitudes related to GBV improved by 11.5 percentage points (in terms of their feeling whether GBV is justified), but among men there was an 11.7-percentage-point change in the opposite direction. Eighty-six percent of respondents were exposed to some aspect of the awareness campaign, and findings suggest that this exposure was associated with a reduction in the belief that GBV is justified, possibly by as much as $10 \%$. There was also an increase of 21.5 percentage points in shared decision making among respondents. The sole component of the campaign that had virtually no effect was its promotion of bystander responsiveness to GBV.

## Discussion

In some cases, men's views in support of gender inequity and GBV increased after the campaign or showed little change. For instance, men were more likely to believe in at least one of the eight justifications for domestic violence after the campaign than before. Such findings suggest that among men, the campaign may have resulted in resistance to change and to the goals of the campaign; if so, such resistance may have been limited to certain reported attitudes, because the majority of reported behaviors appeared to improve after the campaign (e.g., shared decision making increased, and men were less likely to exhibit controlling behavior). Certain characteristics of GBV activists working in the campaign may have contributed to resistance among men; half of the activists were male, but even the male activists reported experiencing some difficulty in speaking with older, married men, as the activists tended to be younger than the male respondents. Future campaigns should seek to engage male champions or activists who are of the same age and social status as the men targeted by the campaign. Also, specialized, large-scale group education activities for men
might help to generate champions and activists and reduce resistance. These results highlight the complexity of individual-level changes in regard to gender-equitable attitudes and behaviors.

Various findings from this study are consistent with other methodologically sound evaluations of similar community outreach and mobilization campaigns.

## Conclusion

Short interventions such as that implemented by RESPOND and its partners can significantly and broadly improve attitudes and knowledge about GBV and potentially improve relationship behaviors. While the project results indicate that the GBV campaign was broadly successful, longer intervention periods may help to increase the effect of the effort. Program planners should create spaces in which men can discuss and reflect on gender norms and relate to GBV activists and champions who are similar to them in age and social status.

## Background

## Introduction

Gender-based violence (GBV) is associated with HIV infections, sexually transmitted infections, unplanned pregnancies, and other health problems (Shamu et al., 2011). GBV is also a human rights violation and is increasingly recognized as an important determinant of a woman's risk for HIV (Dunkle et al., 2004; Strebel et al., 2006). As part of multifaceted strategies to prevent HIV transmission (UNICEF, 2003; COSEP Consultoria, 2012), governments and nongovernmental groups around the world are working to improve men's and women's knowledge of, attitudes toward, and perceptions about gender equity and GBV (Pulerwitz \& Barker, 2008; Naved et al., 2011; COSEP Consultoria, 2012).

The Republic of Angola-located in southern Africa-is the sixth largest country in Africa and has a population of approximately 19.6 million people. Its population is young, highly urbanized, and extremely poor. Sixty-percent of the population lives in urban areas, with nearly one-third living in the capital city, Luanda (UNDP, 2011). Life expectancy is low for both men and women-51 and 55 years, respectively (WHO, 2007). Over one-third ( $37.4 \%$ ) of women have no formal education, more than three times the proportion of uneducated men (COSEP Consultoria, 2012).

Indicators show that Angola has not yet undergone a demographic transition. The total fertility rate is high, at 6.3 lifetime births per woman (PRB, 2013); the contraceptive prevalence rate is low ( $12.9 \%$ ); and unmet need for family planning is high (28.8\%) (Alkema et al., 2013).

Adult HIV prevalence among men and women ages 15-49 in Angola was estimated at 2.0\% in 2009, comparable to the level seen among pregnant women (UNAIDS, 2010; UNICEF, 2012). Moreover, awareness of HIV and AIDS is higher among men than women: Seventy-eight percent of men and $66 \%$ of women ages 15-49 have heard of HIV and AIDS (INE, 2010). Knowledge of HIV resources is relatively low among both men and women, with $34 \%$ of women and $40 \%$ of men ages 15-49 knowing where to get an HIV test (IFAD, 2002).

Years of civil strife, including a decades-long civil war, severely damaged the country's health system. As a result, the health care needs of the population are largely unmet. Cultural beliefs also limit access to reproductive health services. Further, the services provided are often of poor quality, and trained staff are lacking (COSEP Consultoria, 2012).

## The Status of Women in Angola

Angola's Constitution provides for equal rights for men and women, and the Ministry of Family and Promotion of Women (MINFAMU) is the primary government agency responsible for implementing policies to support equal rights for women. ${ }^{1}$ However, laws and policies do not guarantee that social norms are supportive and that women's rights are in fact

[^0]realized. Most families follow traditional customs; the husband is still considered the head of the household, meaning that men have more power than women in terms of household decision making. This reality leaves women vulnerable, including those who are widowed or divorced. For example, laws on child support are poorly enforced (Ducados, 2004). Finally, under inheritance law, a woman is entitled to $50 \%$ of her husband's estate at the time of his death. In practice, the division of property usually benefits male relatives of the deceased (Shamu et al., 2011).

## Gender-Based Violence in Angola

GBV is relatively commonplace in Angola (Table 1). A 2010 survey found that among evermarried or ever-partnered women ages $15-49,26 \%$ reported ever having experienced physical violence, and $8 \%$ reported experiencing it frequently in the previous year (COSEP Consultoria, 2012). Of women who had ever been pregnant, $7 \%$ reported experiencing physical violence during the pregnancy. Among married women who had experienced physical violence, 58\% reported that the perpetrator was their current partner or husband; $31 \%$ said it was a previous partner or husband. Sexual violence, while less frequent, was reported by $6 \%$ of women.

Table 1. Percentage of women aged 15-49, ever married or in union, who experienced various types of violence from a husband or partner, by frequency ( $\mathrm{N}=3,365$ )

| Type of violence | Ever | Frequently in <br> last 12 months | Sometimes in <br> last 12 months |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical violence | $\mathbf{2 6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 8}$ |
| Pushed, shaken, or thrown an object at | 12.4 | 3.5 | 8.3 |
| Slapped | 23.9 | 6.2 | 15.5 |
| Twisted arm or pulled hair | 7.1 | 2.0 | 4.6 |
| Punched | 8.9 | 2.3 | 5.5 |
| Kicked, dragged, or beaten up | 8.1 | 2.1 | 5.3 |
| Purposefully choked or burned | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Threatened or attacked her with a weapon | 2.2 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| Sexual violence | 5.8 | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ |
| Forced sexual intercourse | 4.6 | 1.6 | 2.5 |
| Forced other sexual acts | 4.7 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| First sexual relationship with current/recent | 0.4 | NA | NA |
| partner was forced |  | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6}$ |
| Emotional violence | 12.7 | 3.2 | 5.6 |
| Humiliated in front of others | 9.7 | 1.1 | 2.1 |
| Threatened her or family with harm | 4.0 | 3.1 | 4.9 |
| Insulted or made to feel badly | 8.9 |  |  |

Source: COSEP Consultoria, 2012
In 2011, the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) Mission in Angola engaged the RESPOND Project to conduct an assessment of GBV response, policies, and capacities in Angola. Based on that work, RESPOND was invited to develop programming to improve the
response to GBV among police in Luanda; implementation of that programming was hampered by difficulties in finalizing a working arrangement with the Ministry of Interior and the Luanda police command. Subsequently, RESPOND was asked to work with civil society in Angola to develop and implement a GBV awareness campaign in a district in Luanda.

During the course of this evaluation study, interviews with survey respondents and government officials suggested some reasons for the high rate of GBV and illuminated women's experiences with GBV. Customs and social norms give men certain rights to exercise authority over their wives and daughters. In addition, women rarely report assaults or rapes to the authorities. Reasons for this may include the stigmatization they may face upon reporting the event, societal norms that blame the survivor, unhelpful services that may further stigmatize the survivor, and fear of reprisal from a partner. In 2011, the government enacted a law criminalizing DV; at the time of this evaluation study, knowledge and enforcement of the law appeared to be low.

## The Intervention

In February 2011, RESPOND conducted a two-week assessment of the response to GBV of various sectors of society. Staff interviewed key informants from government, civil society, and bilateral and international agencies. Appendix 1 summarizes the key findings and recommendations. Based on the findings from the assessment, RESPOND staff worked with MINFAMU to develop police training and build in-country capacity around GBV.

During 2012, RESPOND identified several civil society organizations working to prevent and respond to GBV. Between October 2012 and August 2013, RESPOND collaborated with two of those organizations: the Women's Christian Union (UCF) and the Forum for AIDS Support and Solidarity (FOJASSIDA). Together, they developed and implemented a GBV awareness campaign in Cazenga, a large, low-income submunicipality of Luanda.

With an estimated 450,000 inhabitants, Cazenga is one of seven such submunicipalities. The campaign was focused primarily in one neighborhood which had approximately 60,000 inhabitants. The GBV awareness campaign began on March 30, 2013, and ended on August 3, 2013. The objectives were five-fold:

1. To challenge norms and expectations that support the perpetration of GBV (especially those that blame the victim) and that keep women from seeking help or leaving abusive relationships
2. To promote healthy, nonviolent relationships that can reduce vulnerability to GBV and to HIV
3. To promote equitable norms that can reduce vulnerability to GBV and to HIV
4. To increase awareness of the consequences of GBV
5. To increase bystanders' willingness to speak out against or intervene in cases of GBV within the community and among peers

The GBV awareness campaign adapted EngenderHealth's multifaceted Men As Partners ${ }^{\circledR}$ (MAP ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) approach, which encourages men to jointly and individually question norms that encourage negative behaviors, including GBV (Castle et al., 2013). In this campaign, the MAP ${ }^{\circledR}$ methodology was modified to be gender-synchronized, meaning that the campaign sought to engage both men and women to reflect about gender inequity and GBV. Gender norms are relational and are reinterpreted continuously with relationship dynamics, and it is important to work with both males and females to promote lasting changes in terms of attitudes and relationships.

This campaign primarily worked with activists and local organizations and businesses to engage the community with communication materials, radio spots, and community events as a means to promote reflection on and awareness about GBV and gender inequality. Both UCF and FOJASSIDA were already working with activists to prevent HIV and were beginning to expand to work on preventing GBV. Those activists were the core group with which RESPOND worked. In addition to the current activists, RESPOND supported UCF to recruit
new activists, to ensure that there were enough. Recruitment was led by UCF, as the activists would continue to work with UCF after the end of the project.

A total of 36 activists participated in the project, split equally between men and women. All were residents of Cazenga, and while they ranged in age from 18 to 36, the majority were younger than 30. Besides the 36 activists, two GBV Activist Coordinators from UCF were dedicated to supervising the activists' work. These coordinators participated in the training and received support from RESPOND during the project. One of the two dropped out in the middle of the campaign, and a replacement was added only at the end.
RESPOND held a workshop for 36 GBV activists (eight from FOJASSIDA and 28 from UCF) and the two GBV coordinators from November to December 2012. The workshop consisted of five day-long sessions that occurred once a week. Participants received training in gender concepts, causes and consequences of GBV, prevention of GBV, communication of GBV messages, and the basic responses and care that a bystander or activist can give to survivors of GBV.

During the project start-up, a campaign working group was formed with six activists from UCF and FOJASSIDA and representatives from other community-based organizations, including the Conference of Christian Churches of Angola (CICA), Radio Cazenga (a community radio station), the MINFAMU Cazenga subsection, Norwegian Church Aid, and the Baptist Church Hospital of Cazenga. The working group participated in three workshops, each a day long, over the course of three months. The first reviewed basic concepts about gender and GBV and discussed the campaign objectives. The second focused on the GBV awareness-raising campaign and on how to develop messages around GBV. The third workshop worked to develop specific messages for the awareness-raising campaign, which were then utilized in the development of campaign materials.

Afterwards, the campaign working group continued to meet, though some members diminished their involvement. The most consistent participants were the activists, Radio Cazenga, and the UCF/FOJASSIDA staff. The working group helped to formulate campaign themes, recommended activities, and provided input on the development of materials. Initial campaign materials and messages were tested with focus groups and were adjusted according to the findings.

The role of the GBV activists was to help develop materials (via the working group), distribute materials, and organize/hold community events. Activists interviewed community leaders for the campaign newsletter Cazenguinha, took photographs of events, distributed materials door-to-door or at distribution points, held small community events (like public talks and theater performances), and wrote sections of the newsletter.

The campaign had three stages, each with a specific aim and message that built on previous stages and each lasting about five weeks (Figure 1). The stages were discussed and developed in partnership with the working group. Communication materials, campaign activities, and events for each stage reflected the messages and aims. The materials for the campaign included one brochure, one poster, one radio spot, and one comic book edition for each stage of the campaign. There were also nine issues of the newsletter, Cazenguinba. Other materials included t-shirts, caps, calendars, key chains, stickers, notebooks, pens, and other items. Along with the radio spots, a radio competition was held daily by Radio Cazenga in which listeners could call
in to answer questions about GBV or about the campaign and win campaign materials. Also, a few radio debates/interviews with GBV activists were aired on Radio Cazenga. Community events were harder for the activists to implement but included church discussions, school discussions (this was easiest, as it was the approach the UCF activists had already been utilizing), theater presentations, public distribution of materials, and door-to-door visits. The theater presentations were of a play developed by the GBV activists based on the comic book story; they were presented a few times during the course of the campaign. Also, local businesses (such as pharmacies, stores, barbershops and salons, etc.) supported the campaign by acting as points of distribution for campaign materials. They had a poster placed on their entry identifying them as campaign distribution point and would received stacks of newsletters and brochures for distribution. Finally, the project launch was marked by a large community event with poetry, music, and dancing, and to close the campaign an estimated 1,200 participants marched in solidarity with survivors of GBV.

Over the course of the campaign, activists distributed 48,700 newsletters, 20,300 brochures, 14,600 comic books, 5,700 posters, 1,100 t-shirts, 3,000 hats, and thousands of magnets, stickers, and keychains.

After the end of the campaign, RESPOND provided a training of facilitators for a cohort of GBV activists so that UCF and FOJASSIDA could recruit and train new activists and provide refresher trainings, as needed. A communication workshop was also held at the end of the project, and UCF and FOJASSIDA activists developed a fourth campaign theme and materials (a brochure, a poster, and two newsletters) by themselves, with minimal support from RESPOND. This was felt to be an important step to support the two organizations to develop similar mateirals and campaigns on their own.

Figure 1. Campaign stages, messages, and aims

## Stage 1

Message: "We are happy because we share... share as well!"
Aim: To question inequitable norms and promote healthy, nonviolent relationships (including the ability to identify unhealthy and controlling relationships, knowledge of what violence is, and the ability to identify violence)

## Stage 2

Message: "Where there is violence, we all lose."
Aim: To challenge justifications for GBV and promote zero tolerance for GBV (including awareness of laws about GBV, awareness of costs of GBV, and less justification for violence in any situation)

## Stage 3

Message: "In fights between couples, we can intervene."
Aim: To speak out against GBV (including willingness to speak to friends, peers, and family about violence; to question a violent act or harassment when people see this; to challenge peers' inequitable statements; and to support survivors)

## Evaluation Methodology

## Purpose and Objectives of the Evaluation

A quasi-experimental survey was conducted before and after the campaign to evaluate its impact on knowledge and attitudes related to GBV. The baseline survey was conducted in February-March, 2013; the endline survey, in August-September, 2013. This report presents the results of those surveys.

The primary objective was to determine whether exposure to the awareness campaign reduced by 10 percentage points or more the proportion of individuals who believe that GBV is justified. Secondary objectives were to measure exposure to the awareness campaign; to determine whether beliefs or attitudes toward GBV or gender-normative behaviors (such as decision making) improved by at least 15 percentage points after the awareness campaign; and to determine whether respondents would be at least 15 percentage points more likely to intervene in an incident of GBV after being exposed to the awareness campaign.

## Evaluation Design

This study comprised two household surveys conducted before and after the awareness campaign. The surveys were conducted in a single neighborhood of Cazenga District in Luanda. Respondents were randomly sampled, and participation was voluntary. Individuals were not compensated for their participation.

## Sample size

The original estimated sample size was 310 women and 310 men for both the baseline and endline surveys. Because of the paucity of data on GBV in Angola, we made certain assumptions regarding the primary outcome of interest: the proportions of men and women who believe that GBV is justified. In a 2012 survey, approximately $25 \%$ of women reported having experienced GBV in the past 12 months (COSEP Consultoria, 2012). The evaluation team assumed that the proportion of individuals who committed intimate partner violence would be at least equal to the proportion of individuals who believe it to be justified. Given the campaign's limited time frame, it was estimated that a decrease of 10 percentage points or more in the proportion of women or men who believed GBV was justified would represent a meaningful change. Given a Type I error of $5 \%$, a Type II error of $20 \%$, and a two-tailed test, a sample size of 248 people would be required in the baseline and endline surveys to detect a 10 -percentage-point decrease from a $25 \%$ estimated proportion at baseline. The sample size was increased to compensate for a potential refusal rate of $10 \%$ and for the possibility that surveyors would be unable to interview $15 \%$ of eligible respondents selected after three attempts.

This sample size was adequate to detect a 10 -percentage-point decrease or increase in outcomes of interest with a baseline prevalence less than or equal to $25 \%$ or greater than or equal to $75 \%$. It was also adequate to detect a 15 -percentage-point increase or decrease in outcomes with between $25 \%$ and $75 \%$ prevalence at baseline.

## Sample selection

The campaign was conducted in one area of Cazenga; evaluators selected one central neighborhood from that area for inclusion in the baseline and endline surveys. Of the 85 blocks in the survey neighborhood, 30 were randomly selected. Approximately 21 households per block were visited. The endline survey was conducted in the same blocks, using the same mechanisms to select respondents as for the baseline survey. Endline respondents were queried to determine whether they had participated in the baseline survey.

Men and women aged 18-49 who were permanent residents in the sample households were eligible to participate in the survey. Only one eligible respondent was selected and interviewed per household. In households with both eligible men and women, the interviewer first selected the sex of the respondent as instructed, using a randomly generated list of sex order. If the randomly selected eligible person was not at home at the time of the visit, the interviewer arranged to return to the household up to two times to interview the respondent.

Upon completion of the baseline survey in February 2013, evaluators determined that the sample included too few heads of households; $44 \%$ of men living in the study households were the head of their household, but only $33 \%$ of men selected for interview were the head of their household. This difference was attributed to the fact that one person per household was selected for inclusion in the survey and that interviews often were conducted at times when fewer heads of households were present. Therefore, before the campaign, evaluators supplemented the survey with 109 additional male heads of households. The resulting sample better represented the community's proportion of households headed by men. An identical supplement was conducted at endline ( $\mathrm{n}=104$ ).

## Data collection

The baseline and endline questionnaires (Appendix 2) were similar; both included questions about exposure to campaign themes (as individuals could theoretically be exposed to similar messages and materials not associated with the project). The endline questionnaire included questions about exposure to specific campaign messages as well as minor modifications to other survey questions, based on results from the baseline survey. The evaluation team conducted the majority of interviews in Portuguese; simultaneous translation was used for respondents not able to speak Portuguese. For the endline survey, the questionnaire was also available in Lingala. The questionnaires were translated from English into Portuguese and Lingala and then back-translated into English. The translations and back-translations were reviewed to ensure figurative accuracy. The baseline questionnaires were pilot-tested with five men and five women in households not included in the survey sample. After baseline data were collected, the evaluation team met with interviewers to discuss their perceptions about the baseline survey and to obtain recommendations for the endline survey. New questions for the endline questionnaire were pilot-tested and revised.

Three teams of two interviewers each (one male, one female) conducted the interviews. Female interviewers interviewed eligible females, and male interviewers interviewed eligible males. Interviewers approached homes identified by the sampling frame, introduced themselves, and explained the purpose of the research. As is typical in community-based surveys, the interviewer first asked to speak with the head of the household. If the head of the household was not available, the interviewer asked to speak with any permanent resident of the household between the ages of 18 and 49. If no one aged 18-49 was available at the time of
visit, the interviewer thanked the resident and informed the resident that $\mathrm{s} /$ he would return at another time. If the head of the household or a resident aged 18-49 was home at the time of the visit, the interviewer selected the respondent as per the evaluation protocol and requested oral consent for participation by reading the informed consent statement (Appendix 3) verbatim. After obtaining the individual's consent to participate in the survey, the interviewer signed his or her own name to the consent statement to indicate that the participant had provided oral consent. Participants were not compensated.

## Quality assurance

RESPOND's research partner, COSEP Consultoria, hired, trained, and supervised the data collection team. Interviewer training covered research ethics, an overview of GBV (sufficiently succinct as to inform but not bias interviewers), the survey questionnaires, interviewing, sampling procedures, and informed consent procedures. The training also included interviewer practice with each other and a pretest in which each trainee administered the questionnaire. Interviewers were paid by the day, not by questionnaire, to discourage interviewers from falsifying data or rushing through interviews. Local agency supervisors and an experienced cartographer monitored sample and survey implementation for correctness.

## Data management

To protect confidentiality, all interviewees were assigned an anonymous identification number. Identifying information collected from respondents was relation to the head of the household, sex, and age, including month and year of birth. All data files were deidentified by removing the month and year of birth. Once interviewers returned from the field, information collected in the study was stored in a secured, locked cabinet. Interviewers were permitted to review the questionnaires only in the local office used by the evaluation team. Interviewers submitted all forms at the end of each day to their supervisor, who locked the hard copies in the office files until the supervisor and interviewer reviewed the copies at the end of the week, after which they were again locked in the office files. The study team was instructed to respect confidentiality by not discussing the responses of particular individuals with anyone in the community. During data entry and analysis, the questionnaires and electronic copies of the data were kept in secure locations by COSEP. Data were entered into an SQL database by data entry clerks hired and supervised by COSEP. For data analysis, deidentified data were encrypted and transferred to the study coordinator for data analysis, which was conducted on an encrypted computer.

## Ethics

EngenderHealth reviewed and approved the study protocol using its Standard Operating Procedures for Evaluation and Research. The Western Institutional Review Board reviewed the protocol and found the survey exempt from review under federal regulations 45 CRF 46.101(b)(2).

No protocol violations were reported. In addition, no social harm experiences (such as loss of privacy, stigmatization, relationship difficulties, physical or verbal abuse, interference with gainful employment, or coercion) were reported as a result of participation in the survey.

## Outcomes and covariates

The primary outcome of the evaluation was attitude toward GBV, specifically justification for violence. For assessing attitudes toward GBV, questionnaires included items that have been validated and used in other large surveys, including the GBV module from the Demographic
and Health Survey (DHS) (MEASURE/ICF International, 2008-2013), the Gender Equitable Men (GEM) Scale (Pulerwitz \& Barker, 2008), the International Men and Gender Equality Survey (IMAGES) (Barker et al., 2011), and USAID indicators GNDR-7 and GNDR-4. GNDR-7 is the percentage of the target population that views GBV as less acceptable after participating in or being exposed to U.S. government programming; GNDR-7 is reflected in the DHS as "attitudes towards wife beating." GNDR-4 is the proportion of the target population reporting increased agreement with the concept that males and females should have equal access to social, economic, and political opportunities (USAID, 2012).

Eight items were used to assess whether and why a respondent believed violence to be justified (GNDR-7). The DHS has developed five items to assess the circumstances and extent to which respondents view domestic violence (DV) - defined as "a husband hitting or beating his wife"as justified. The items assume that the man is the aggressor and the woman is the victim of DV. Each question asks if the respondent believes that a particular circumstance justifies DV (e.g., if the woman neglects the children). In addition to the five DHS items, this study assessed three other DV items, developed and piloted by the evaluation team: DV is justified (1) if the woman talks back to the man, (2) if she disobeys the man, or (3) if she is unfaithful to him.

In addition, items from the GEM Scale were used (Nanda, 2011). The scale presents a series of statements that represent negative views on gender equity; thus, disagreement with a statement represents a positive view of gender equity. A score of 1 represents agreement with negative perceptions; 2 represents partial agreement; and 3 represents disagreement with negative perceptions. Also, the study used four questions about rape myths from IMAGES (Men+Gender Equity Policy Project, 2011).

Several secondary outcomes were assessed, including the following:

- Knowledge of and attitudes toward GBV, including Angola's DV law. Surveyors asked respondents all five IMAGES questions about the DV law in Angola, plus three additional questions.
- GBV-related behaviors. These behaviors included willingness to speak to others, to challenge inequitable norms, or to intervene during violence.
- Division of household chores by sex. All seven indicators (washing clothes, repairing the house, buying food, cleaning the house, cleaning the bathroom, preparing food, paying bills) regarding division of labor for domestic chores from the IMAGES survey were assessed (Men+Gender Equity Policy Project, 2011).
- Shared decision making. Two questions from the DHS asked about the extent to which the decisions to visit family members or to make a major household purchase were made by the respondent alone, together with the spouse, or by the spouse without the respondent's input.

In addition to collecting demographic data, the questionnaires (Appendix 2) assessed exposure to the intervention and to messages about GBV.

## Data analysis

The data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows, version 21. Univariate and bivariate analyses compared baseline and endline values for outcomes and assessed their association with key covariates, such as gender and exposure to the campaign. Chi-square and ANOVA tests were performed. Multivariate regression analyses explained very little of the variation and are not included in this report.

## Evaluation Results

## Participant Characteristics

For the baseline survey, 730 households were visited; eight residents refused participation; 405 men and 299 women were interviewed. For the endline survey, 727 households were visited; three residents refused participation; 415 men and 307 women were interviewed. Forty-eight percent of the endline sample reported having been interviewed at baseline.

At both baseline and endline, the majority of respondents were married or cohabitating; had two to three children; had a secondary or higher education; were Catholic; spoke Portuguese at home; were employed outside the home; and had household assets such as electricity, a radio, a television, and access to a telephone (Table 2). The majority of respondents were male because of oversampling of heads of households.

Table 2. Participant characteristics

|  | Baseline |  | Endline |  | p* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mathrm{N}=704$ ) |  | ( $\mathrm{N}=722$ ) |  |  |
|  | \% | N or SD | \% | N or SD |  |
| \% male | 57.5 | 405 | 57.5 | 415 | . 985 |
| \% head of household | 44.9 | 315 | 44.3 | 320 | . 739 |
| \% ever had regular/stable partner | na | na | 89.1 | 643 | NA |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% married/living together | 56.3 | 397 | 56.7 | 402 | . 706 |
| \% single | 34.4 | 242 | 33.5 | 242 | . 790 |
| Mean no. of years living with current partner (SD) | 8.9 | (6.3) | 10.2 | (10.1) | 031 |
| \% of respondent or respondent's partner having another partner | 7.4 | 29 | 17.0 | 119 | <. 001 |
| Mean no. of household residents (SD) | 4.9 | (2.6) | 5.2 | (2.3) | . 048 |
| Mean age (years) (SD) | 31.4 | (8.7) | 30.6 | (8.9) | . 064 |
| Percentage distribution, by current age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 8.7 | 61 | 10.7 | 77 | 202 |
| 20-24 | 16.5 | 116 | 20.4 | 147 | 059 |
| 25-29 | 20.9 | 147 | 21.3 | 154 | 836 |
| 30-34 | 18.0 | 127 | 15.2 | 110 | . 115 |
| 35-39 | 15.9 | 112 | 13.6 | 98 | . 213 |
| 40-44 | 9.8 | 69 | 8.2 | 59 | . 212 |
| 45-49 | 10.2 | 72 | 10.7 | 77 | . 787 |
| \% who have a child | 66.6 | 469 | 65.7 | 474 | . 700 |
| Mean no. of living children (SD) | 2.9 | (2.3) | 2.9 | (1.8) | . 769 |
| Mean no. of children younger than 14 (SD) | 2.4 | (1.6) | 2.3 | (1.4) | . 201 |
| Mean no. of children younger than 14 years and living in household (SD) | 1.9 | (1.6) | 2.0 | (1.3) | . 165 |


|  | Baseline |  |  |  | p* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mathrm{N}=704$ ) |  | $(\mathrm{N}=722)$ |  |  |
|  | \% | N or SD | \% | N or SD |  |
| \% who can easily read and write a letter | 94.4 | 654 | 91.2 | 649 | . 030 |
| Highest level school attended |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% none | 2.8 | 20 | 2.6 | 19 | . 822 |
| \% primary | 38.8 | 273 | 32.7 | 235 | . 017 |
| \% secondary or above | 58.4 | 411 | 62.7 | 450 | . 098 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Evangelical, Protestant | 12.6 | 89 | 15.7 | 113 | . 095 |
| \% Catholic | 62.4 | 434 | 68.7 | 489 | . 006 |
| \% Muslim | 2.4 | 17 | 2.2 | 16 | . 816 |
| \% traditional | 1.4 | 10 | 1.1 | 8 | . 606 |
| \% none | 4.1 | 29 | 5.2 | 37 | . 354 |
| \% who speak language other than Portuguese at home | 11.4 | 80 | 22.9 | 163 | <. 001 |
| \% who speak Kinkongo | 33.7 | 210 | 26.5 | 148 | <. 001 |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% nonagricultural worker | 41.5 | 292 | 42.2 | 302 | . 663 |
| \% unemployed | 18.9 | 133 | 22.5 | 161 | . 100 |
| \% housewife | 12.8 | 90 | 6.9 | 49 | <. 001 |
| \% professional, big businessman | 11.2 | 79 | 12.4 | 89 | . 547 |
| \% fisherman, informal laborer | 6.8 | 48 | 7.0 | 50 | . 576 |
| \% other | 5.8 | 41 | 2.8 | 20 | . 005 |
| \% working outside the home | 68.9 | 405 | 83.8 | 470 | <. 001 |
| \% with selected household assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity | 97.6 | 686 | 99.3 | 715 | . 008 |
| Public water piped into house | 5.4 | 38 | 43.0 | 309 | $\leq .001$ |
| Radio | 74.8 | 526 | 81.4 | 587 | . 003 |
| Refrigerator | 47.7 | 336 | 60.5 | 436 | S. 001 |
| Television | 96.2 | 677 | 97.9 | 704 | . 050 |
| Computer with Internet access | 16.2 | 114 | 24.9 | 179 | $\leq .001$ |
| Generator | 33.0 | 232 | 42.6 | 306 | S. 001 |
| Household member with telephone (mobile or landline) | 98.4 | 693 | 98.9 | 713 | . 460 |
| Media exposure |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% who read newspaper or magazine |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq$ Once a week | 33.2 | 234 | 54.3 | 391 | <. 001 |
| <Once a week | 35.5 | 250 | 17.9 | 129 |  |
| Not at all | 31.3 | 220 | 27.8 | 200 |  |
| \% who listen to the radio |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq$ Once a week | 46.2 | 325 | 59.1 | 425 | <. 001 |
| <Once a week | 27.3 | 192 | 7.5 | 54 |  |
| Not at all | 26.6 | 187 | 33.4 | 240 |  |
| \% who watch television |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq$ Once a week | 93.2 | 656 | 97.4 | 699 | <. 001 |
| <Once a week | 2.4 | 17 | 1.8 | 13 |  |
| Not at all | 4.4 | 31 | 0.8 | 6 |  |

Notes: na=not asked; NA=not applicable. * Shows statistical significance of difference between baseline and endline.

Survey samples were well-matched between baseline and endline for most characteristics. However, there were several highly significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) and potentially important differences among participants at endline and baseline. Notably, compared with baseline respondents, endline respondents were more likely to have household assets-specifically, electricity, piped water, a radio, a refrigerator, a computer with Internet access, and a generator. The only assets that matched at baseline and endline were having a television and access to a telephone, and the vast majority ( $>95 \%$ ) had both. Endline respondents also had significantly greater exposure to media: More respondents reported reading a newspaper or magazine at least once a week at endline ( $54 \%$ ) than at baseline ( $33 \%$ ), and more respondents said they listened to the radio at least once a week at endline ( $59 \%$ ) than at baseline ( $46 \%$ ). The vast majority of respondents at both baseline and endline watched television at least once a week ( $93 \%$ and $97 \%$, respectively). Finally, compared with baseline respondents, endline respondents were more likely to live in polygamous relationships ( $17 \%$ vs. $7 \%$ ), speak a language other than Portuguese at home ( $23 \%$ vs. $11 \%$ ) that is not Kikongo ( $38 \%$ vs. $27 \%$ ), and work outside the home ( $84 \%$ vs. $69 \%$ ). All of these differences were highly statistically significant ( $\mathrm{p}<.01$ ).

## Exposure to the GBV Awareness Campaign

Between baseline and endline, exposure to GBV messages increased substantially. While exposure significantly increased across all media ( $\mathrm{p} \leq .001$ ), the greatest increase in exposure was to posters ( $22 \%$ at baseline vs. $74 \%$ at endline) and to messages presented in the community or workplace ( $14 \%$ vs. $66 \%$ ). The majority of baseline and endline respondents had seen an advertisement, program, or public service announcement on television questioning men's use of violence against women (Table 3). At baseline, nearly half said they had heard radio messages about preventing violence against women, while at endline $63 \%$ reported having heard such messages. Participation in community or workplace activities about preventing GBV increased from $5 \%$ to $39 \%$.

Table 3. Percentage of respondents reporting exposure to GBV-related messages at baseline and endline

|  | Baseline ( $\mathrm{N}=704$ ) Last 2 months |  | Endline ( $\mathrm{N}=722$ ) Last 2-4 months |  | p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | n | \% | n |  |
| Heard of any campaigns/activities in your community or workplace that talk about preventing violence against women | 21.4 | 704 | 64.5 | 718 | $\leq .001$ |
| Saw advertisement, program, or public service announcement on television questioning men's use of violence against women | 70.1 | 703 | 80.3 | 720 | $\leq .001$ |
| Heard messages from someone in community or workplace about engaging men to prevent violence against women | 14.6 | 704 | 66.3 | 721 | $\leq .001$ |
| Spoke with someone in community or workplace about preventing violence against women | 19.6 | 704 | 52.4 | 721 | $\leq .001$ |
| Heard radio messages about preventing violence against women | 48.6 | 703 | 62.6 | 721 | $\leq .001$ |
| Saw posters about preventing violence against women | 22.1 | 698 | 73.6 | 719 | $\leq .001$ |
| Participated in any activities in community or workplace about preventing violence against women | 4.7 | 695 | 38.9 | 720 | $\leq .001$ |

Table 4. Percentage of respondents reporting exposure to specific campaign messages at endline

|  | \% | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any exposure to campaign messages | 83.9 | 606 |
| Heard radio message in past 2 months: |  |  |
| Any campaign radio message | 63.4 | 458 |
| "Talking is the best way to solve the problems" | 55.1 | 720 |
| "Always remember: Nothing justifies violence" | 53.6 | 718 |
| "Men and women have the same abilities and should have the same rights" | 51.5 | 721 |
| "No one is better than another and all deserve respect" | 56.3 | 719 |
| Saw poster in past 4 months |  |  |
| Any campaign poster | 76.2 | 550 |
| "Everything got better when we decided to do it together-try it out" | 55.6 | 721 |
| "We are happy because we share-share as well" | 62.0 | 718 |
| "When there is violence, we all lose" | 67.2 | 720 |
| "In fights between a couple, we can intervene" | 61.9 | 720 |
| Received leaflet/brochure in past 4 months |  |  |
| Any campaign brochure | 56.4 | 407 |
| "We're happy because we share-you share too" | 42.0 | 715 |
| "Together we can change our community. Say no to violence" | 47.8 | 716 |
| "You can intervene in a domestic quarrel" | 44.3 | 714 |
| In past 4 months: |  |  |
| Received or read newsletter about preventing violence against women | 47.6 | 718 |
| Received newsletter called Cazenguinha | 46.7 | 721 |
| Received any comic book about preventing violence against women | 38.2 | 717 |
| Read comic book called the Nzangi Family | 33.0 | 719 |
| Received a hat about preventing violence against women | 16.8 | 716 |
| Received T-shirt about preventing violence against women | 15.8 | 720 |
| Participated in dramas, plays, theater, skits | 31.3 | 721 |
| Participated in church discussions | 39.0 | 721 |

The vast majority of respondents ( $84 \%$ ) reported some exposure to campaign activities at endline (Table 4). Nearly one-third reported that they had participated in or attended dramas, plays, theater, or skits related to GBV, and $39 \%$ reported they had participated in church discussions. Sixty-three percent of respondents had heard campaign messages on the radio; $76 \%$ had seen posters with campaign messages; $56 \%$ had received one or more campaign brochures; $47 \%$ had received or read the campaign newsletter; $38 \%$ received a comic book about GBV; and $33 \%$ had read campaign comic books. At endline, $17 \%$ of respondents had received a hat about preventing GBV, and $16 \%$ had received a T-shirt.

Table 1 in Appendix 4 provides detailed information about respondents' exposure to the campaign.

## Attitudes and Beliefs Regarding Gender Equity and GBV Beliefs regarding justification for domestic violence

For the entire sample, there was almost no change in beliefs regarding justification for DV: a decrease of 1.2 percentage-points in the five DHS items, and a 1.9-percentage-point increase when all eight items were considered (Table 5). Changes differed among men and women. After the campaign, women were less likely to believe that DV was justified in any of the circumstances described by the five DHS items (a 6.8-percentage-point decrease, $\mathrm{p}=0.080$ ); when all eight items were considered, the observed decrease among women was 11.5 percentage-points ( $\mathrm{p}=.004$ ). In comparison, men were more likely to agree that DV is justified at endline than at baseline: a 2.8 -percentage-point increase for the five DHS items, and an 11.7-percentage-point increase when all eight items were considered ( $\mathrm{p} \leq .001$ ). Table 8 in Appendix 4 presents results for each of the five DHS items and the three new items, disaggregated by sex. For all three new questions, the proportion of men believing that DV was justified increased, while the proportion of women believing so decreased.

Table 5. Percentage of respondents agreeing with one or more criteria for justification of GBV, according to measure, total sample and by sex

|  | Baseline | Endline | \%-point change | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Sample* | $(\mathrm{N}=676)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=718)$ |  |  |
| DHS 5 items | 28.0 | 26.8 | -1.2 | 0.610 |
| DHS 5 + 3 new items | 45.3 | 47.2 | +1.9 | 0.490 |
| Men | $(\mathrm{N}=405)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=412)$ |  |  |
| DHS 5 items | 21.5 | 24.3 | +2.8 | 0.330 |
| DHS 5 + 3 new items | 34.3 | 46.0 | +11.7 | $\leq .001$ |
| Women | $(\mathrm{N}=299)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=305)$ |  |  |
| DHS 5 items | 36.8 | 30.0 | -6.8 | 0.080 |
| DHS 5 + 3 new items | 60.2 | 48.7 | -11.5 | 0.004 |

Exposure to the awareness campaign was associated with a reduction in the proportion of individuals who believed that GBV is justified, possibly by as much as 10 percentage points (Table 6). Among all endline respondents, those who reported any exposure to campaign messages and activities were somewhat less likely than those who were not exposed to agree that GBV is justified ( $46 \%$ vs. $51 \%$; RR=0.90, $95 \%$ C.I. [0.74, 1.10]); this difference was not statistically significant. Some exposures were more strongly associated with a reduction in the belief that GBV is justified, however, suggesting that those exposures may have been more effective at the individual level in shifting attitudes. For example, respondents who reported that they received a campaign brochure were less likely to believe that GBV is justified than were those who did not ( $42 \%$ vs. $54 \%$; RR=0.79, $95 \%$ C.I. [0.68, 0.92 ]). This association was seen among both men and women, and all associations were statistically significant.

Table 6. Percentage of endline respondents believing that GBV is justified, + by exposure to campaign elements, and relative risk, total sample and stratified by sex

| Campaign element | Exposed | Not exposed | RR [95\% CI] |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total endline sample (N=718) |  |  |  |  |
| Any | $46.4(281)$ | $51.4(57)$ | $0.90[0.74,1.10]$ |  |
| Any radio | $43.0(197)$ | $54.4(41)$ | $0.79^{*}[0.68,0.92]$ |  |
| Any poster | $45.8(252)$ | $51.5(86)$ | $0.80[0.75,1.05]$ |  |
| Any brochure | $42.3(172)$ | $53.5(166)$ | $0.79^{*}[0.68,0.92]$ |  |
| Men (N=412) |  |  |  |  |
| Any | $44.8(168)$ | $59.5(22)$ | $0.75[0.56,1.01]$ |  |
| Any radio | $42.4(131)$ | $57.3(59)$ | $0.74^{*}[0.60,0.91]$ |  |
| Any poster | $44.0(154)$ | $58.1(36)$ | $0.76^{*}[0.59,0.97]$ |  |
| Any brochure | $42.0(107)$ | $58.9(83)$ | $0.79^{*}[0.65,0.98]$ |  |
| Women (N=305) |  |  |  |  |
| Any | $48.9(113)$ | $47.3(35)$ | $1.03[0.79,1.30]$ |  |
| Any radio | $44.3(66)$ | $52.6(82)$ | $0.84[0.67,1.06]$ |  |
| Any poster | $49.8(95)$ | $47.6(50)$ | $1.03[0.81,1.32]$ |  |
| Any brochure | $42.8(65)$ | $54.2(83)$ | $0.79^{*}[0.62,1.00]$ |  |

†Belief was measured using the five DHS indicators plus three additional items (eight items in total); a participant was coded as believing GBV that is justified if s/he reported strongly agreeing or agreeing with one or more of the eight statements. *p< 05 .

## Gender equity (GEM Scale)

After the GBV campaign, there was a significant shift toward more disagreement with negative gender equity statements (i.e., gender equity perceptions had improved at endline) (Table 7).
The higher the equity score is, the more agreement there is with positive gender equity beliefs. Looking at overall GEM scores, more respondents had a high equity score at endline than at baseline; this was true for both men (baseline 22\%, endline 30\%; $\mathrm{p}<.001$ ) and women (baseline $8 \%$, endline $33 \% ; \mathrm{p}<.001$ ). Thus, both changes were statistically significant. The 7.6-percentage-point increase in the share of high-scoring men was offset by a 5.9 -percentgaepoint increase in low-scoring men. (Table 2 in Appendix 4 presents the results for each of the GEM items.)

Table 7. GEM equity scores, total sample and stratified by sex

| GEM scoret | Baseline <br> $\%(\mathbf{n})$ | Endline <br> $\%(\mathbf{n})$ | Change | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total sample | $(\mathrm{N}=676)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=708)$ |  |  |
| Low (16-26) | $11.2(75)$ | $12.4(87)$ | +1.2 | .504 |
| Medium (27-37) | $72.6(485)$ | $56.6(397)$ | -16.1 | $<.001$ |
| High (38-48) | $16.2(108)$ | $31.1(218)$ | +14.9 | $<.001$ |
| Men* | $(\mathrm{N}=405)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=415)$ |  |  |
| Low (16-26) | $7.5(29)$ | $13.3(54)$ | +5.9 | .007 |
| Medium (27-37) | $70.1(272)$ | $56.8(230)$ | -13.3 | $<.001$ |
| High (38-48) | $22.4(87)$ | $29.9(121)$ | +7.6 | $<.001$ |
| Women* | $(\mathrm{N}=299)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=307)$ |  |  |
| Low (16-26) | $16.4(46)$ | $11.1(33)$ | -5.3 | .063 |
| Medium (27-37) | $76.1(213)$ | $56.2(167)$ | -19.8 | $<.001$ |
| High (38-48) | $7.5(21)$ | $32.7(97)$ | +25.2 | $<.001$ |

Note: Data were missing for $3 \%$ of participants ( 28 and 14 at baseline and endline, respectively; 21 men and 21 women). Respondents missing responses to any item were excluded from analysis.
$\dagger$ Items were scored on a scale of 1 to 3, with 1=agree, 2=partly agree, and 3=disagree, and were summed.
The change in agreement was not similar across all items. There was both more agreement and more disagreement after the campaign for five items:

- Men need sex more than women do.
- Men don't talk about sex; they just do it.
- If someone insults me, I will defend my reputation, with force if I have to.
- It disgusts me when I see a man acting like a woman.
- A man using violence against his wife is a private matter that shouldn't be discussed outside the couple.

In comparison, there was significantly more disagreement (improved perceptions about gender equity) for nine items:

- A woman's most important role is to take care of her home and cook for her family.
- Changing diapers, giving kids a bath, and feeding the kids are the mother's responsibility.
- A man should have the final word about decisions in his home.
- A woman should tolerate violence in order to keep her family together.
- A woman should obey her husband in all things.
- Women who carry condoms are easy.
- A woman should not initiate sex.
- I would never have a gay friend.
- Men should be embarrassed if they are unable to get an erection during sex.

After the campaign, there was essentially no change in perceptions regarding five items:

- There are times when a woman deserves to be beaten.
- Men are always ready to have sex.
- It is a woman's responsibility to avoid getting pregnant.
- A man needs other women, even if things with his wife are fine.
- A man and a woman should decide together what type of contraception to use.


## Attitudes about rape

There was little agreement with the myths before or after the campaign (Table 8). Both before and after the campaign, fewer women than men agreed with the myths; however, after the campaign, there was a highly significant trend to disagree with the myths for the total sample (baseline $65 \%$, endline $75 \%$ ), among men (baseline $60 \%$, endline $74 \%$ ), and among women (baseline $71 \%$, endline $76 \%$ ).

Table 8. Percentage distribution of respondents, by strength of agreement on beliefs regarding rape myths, total sample and according to sex

|  | Total Sample |  | Men |  | Women |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline <br> $(n=704)$ | Endline <br> $(\mathrm{n}=721)$ | Baseline <br> $(\mathrm{n}=405)$ | Endline <br> $(\mathrm{n}=415)$ | Baseline <br> $(\mathrm{n}=299)$ | Endline <br> $(\mathrm{n}=306)$ |
|  | $(\mathrm{p} \leq .001)$ |  | $(\mathrm{p} \leq .001)$ |  | $(\mathrm{p}=.003)$ |  |
|  | 15.9 | 18.8 | 13.9 | 19.4 | 18.7 | 19.0 |
| Agree | 20.0 | 6.4 | 26.4 | 6.6 | 11.3 | 6.1 |
| Disagree | 16.7 | 24.9 | 17.2 | 23.4 | 15.8 | 26.8 |
| Strongly disagree | 47.5 | 50.0 | 42.6 | 50.6 | 54.2 | 49.2 |
| Usually disagree or strongly <br> disagree | 64.6 | 74.9 | 60.2 | 74.0 | 70.6 | $\mathbf{7 6 . 1}$ |

After the campaign, significantly more men disagreed or strongly disagreed with all four myths (Appendix 4, Table 11) compared with baseline (all $\mathrm{p} \leq .001$ ). By comparison, many women at baseline disagreed or strongly disagreed with the notions that carelessness or wanting rape led to rape; the percent disagreeing or strongly disagreeing did not change significantly at endline compared with baseline (carelessness: $80 \%$ disagreed or strongly disagreed at baseline, $86 \%$ at endline; wanting rape: $91 \%$ disagreed or strongly disagreed at baseline, $92 \%$ at endline) (Appendix 4, Table 11). However, the percentage of women who disagreed or strongly disagreed with the idea that it's not rape if a woman doesn't physically fight back increased substantially (baseline $64 \%$, endline $81 \%$ ). After the campaign, more women disagreed, but fewer strongly disagreed, that having various sex partners or a bad reputation is associated with rape (a total of $45 \%$ disagreed or strongly disagreed with this notion at both baseline and endline).

## Changes in Attitudes and Behaviors Regarding Gender Roles

## Behavior: Decision making

At baseline, men and women reported very different perceptions about shared decision making around major household purchases; while $36 \%$ of men said decisions were made
jointly, only $4 \%$ of women reported the same (Table 9). At endline, there was a trend toward greater joint decision making between partners, among men ( $36 \%$ vs. $47 \% ; \mathrm{p}=.003$ ) and among women ( $4 \%$ vs. $34 \% ; \mathrm{p}<.001$ ). Many fewer men felt that they made decisions independently about major household purchases at endline ( $32 \%$ ) than at baseline ( $48 \%$ ) ( $\mathrm{p}=.003$ ); a similar decrease was seen among women ( $48 \%$ at baseline vs. $36 \%$ at endline; $\mathrm{p}<.001$ ). Decisions about visiting family had similar patterns; joint decision making with one's spouse increased from $49 \%$ to $55 \%$ among men ( $\mathrm{p}=.10$, marginally significant) and from $23 \%$ to $45 \%$ among women ( $\mathrm{p} \leq .001$ ).

Table 9. Percentage distribution of respondents, by views on decision making on household purchases and on visiting family, total sample and according to sex*

|  | Total sample |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline$(N=389)$ |  | Endline$(N=401)$ |  | Baseline$(N=204)$ |  | Endline ( $\mathrm{N}=220$ ) |  | Baseline$(N=185)$ |  | Endline$(N=181)$ |  |
|  | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | N |
| Who makes decisions about major household purchases? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respondent | 47.7 | 187 | 34.0 | 139 | 47.6 | 98 | 32.0 | 72 | 47.8 | 89 | 36.4 | 67 |
| Spouse, partner | 29.8 | 117 | 18.6 | 76 | 13.1 | 27 | 15.1 | 34 | 48.4 | 90 | 22.8 | 42 |
| Respondent and spouse jointly | 20.9 | 82 | 41.1 | 168 | 36.4 | 75 | 47.1 | 106 | 3.8 | 7 | 33.7 | 62 |
| Someone else | 0.5 | 2 | 0.7 | 3 | 1.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 1.6 | 3 |
| Respondent and someone else jointly | 1.0 | 4 | 5.6 | 23 | 1.9 | 4 | 5.8 | 13 | 0.0 | 0 | 5.4 | 10 |
|  | $\mathrm{p} \leq .001$ |  |  |  | $p=.003$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{p} \leq .001$ |  |  |  |
| Who makes decisions about visiting family? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respondent | 28.6 | 112 | 21.0 | 86 | 38.3 | 79 | 30.4 | 68 | 17.7 | 33 | 9.7 | 18 |
| Spouse, partner | 33.9 | 133 | 20.5 | 84 | 11.2 | 23 | 10.3 | 23 | 59.1 | 110 | 33.0 | 61 |
| Respondent and spouse jointly | 36.7 | 144 | 50.6 | 207 | 49.0 | 101 | 54.9 | 123 | 23.1 | 43 | 45.4 | 84 |
| Someone else | 0.5 | 2 | 1.7 | 7 | 1.0 | 2 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 2.7 | 5 |
| Respondent and someone else jointly | 0.3 | 1 | 6.1 | 25 | 0.5 | 1 | 3.6 | 8 | 0.0 | 0 | 9.2 | 17 |
|  | $\mathrm{p} \leq .001$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}<0.10$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{p} \leq .001$ |  |  |  |

* These questions were asked of married and cohabitating participants ( $n=809 ; 46.9 \%$ ); data are missing for 19 participants ( $2.3 \% ; 8$ at baseline and 11 at endline).


## Behavior: Division of labor for domestic chores

At baseline, $21 \%$ of men reported that chores were shared equally, while only $10 \%$ of women reported the same (Table 10). At baseline, many more men than women reported sharing a range of tasks (Appendix 4, Table 5):

- Buying food (men $30 \%$, women $15 \%$ )
- Cleaning the house (men $19 \%$, women $5 \%$ )
- Cleaning the bathroom (men $26 \%$, women $8 \%$ )

In the total sample at endline, there was a statistically significant increase in the percentage of respondents stating they usually shared equally or jointly conducted domestic chores, from $16 \%$ to $21 \%, \mathrm{p}=.005$ ) (Table 10). This increase appears to be driven largely by the increase in the percentage of women who reported that the couple usually equally shared or jointly conducted domestic chores (from $10 \%$ at baseline to $23 \%$ at endline, $\mathrm{p} \leq .001$ ). At endline, there was virtually no change in the percentage of men reporting that the couple usually equally shared or jointly conducted domestic chores. Both before and after the campaign, almost all men and women were satisfied with the division of labor in the household (Table 10); however, at endline, women reported marginally less satisfaction- $97 \%$ at baseline, $92 \%$ at endline ( $\mathrm{p}=.04$ ).

Table 10. Percentage of respondents reporting that domestic chores are shared equally or done together and percentage satisfied with household division of labor, total sample and stratified by sex

|  | Baseline |  | Endline |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |  |
| Total sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% shared equally or done together | 16.2 | 398 | 21.4 | 408 | .005 |  |
| \% satisfied with division of labor | 96.6 | 380 | 94.4 | 396 | .160 |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% shared equally or done together | 21.4 | 211 | 20.4 | 224 | .700 |  |
| \% satisfied with division of labor | 96.4 | 196 | 96.7 | 215 | .570 |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% shared equally or done together | 10.4 | 187 | 22.6 | 184 | $\leq .001$ |  |
| \% satisfied with division of labor | 96.7 | 184 | 91.7 | 181 | .040 |  |

## Behavior: Controlling behaviors between partners

At baseline and at endline, the vast majority of men ( $83 \%$ at baseline and $80 \%$ at endline) and of women ( $96 \%$ baseline and $84 \%$ at endline) felt that their current or last partner exhibited at least one of the five controlling behaviors assessed (Table 11). For the total sample, the percentage of respondents believing their partner exhibited at least one controlling behavior declined by seven percentage points, from $89 \%$ at baseline to $82 \%$ at endline ( $\mathrm{p}=.002$ ). The change in the proportion reporting controlling behaviors was statistically significant among women (a decline of 12 percentage points, $\mathrm{p}<.001$ ) but not among men (a decline of two percentage points, $\mathrm{p}=.507$ ). The most commonly reported controlling behavior among both men and women was insisting on knowing the respondent's whereabouts at all times $(71 \%$ among both men and women at endline); this was also the behavior that demonstrated the most significant overall change (a decrease of 10 percentage points, $\mathrm{p}=.001$ ). The least commonly reported behavior was trying to limit a respondent's contact with family ( $10 \%$ at baseline vs. $9 \%$ at endline, $\mathrm{p}=.489$ ).

Table 11. Percentage of respondents reporting experience with various partner's controlling behaviors, total sample and stratified by sex

| Behavior | Baseline | Endline | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { change } \end{gathered}$ | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% (n) | \% (n) |  |  |
| Total sample | ( $\mathrm{N}=428$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=449$ ) |  |  |
| Jealous or angry if participant talk to member opposite sex | 66.4 (284) | 62.8 (282) | -3.6 | . 272 |
| Frequently accuses participant of being unfaithful | 18.0 (77) | 15.6 (70) | -2.4 | . 342 |
| Does not permit participant to meet same sex friends | 20.8 (89) | 19.6 (88) | -1.2 | . 659 |
| Tries to limit participant's contact with family | 10.1 (43) | 8.7 (39) | -1.4 | 489 |
| Insists on knowing where participant is at all times | 81.1 (347) | 71.1 (319) | -10.0 | . 001 |
| Partner exhibits at least 1 controlling behavior | 89.3 (382) | 82.0 (368) | -7.3 | . 002 |
| Men | ( $\mathrm{N}=208$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=225$ ) |  |  |
| Jealous or angry if participant talk to member opposite sex | 59.1 (130) | 62.7 (153) | 3.6 | . 425 |
| Frequently accuses participant of being unfaithful | 23.6 (52) | 18.9 (46) | -4.8 | . 207 |
| Does not permit participant to meet same sex friends | 9.1 (20) | 13.1 (32) | 4.0 | . 171 |
| Tries to limit participant's contact with family | 3.4 (8) | 7.0 (17) | 3.3 | . 113 |
| Insists on knowing where participant is at all times | 75.5 (166) | 71.3 (174) | -4.1 | . 314 |
| Partner exhibits at least 1 controlling behavior | 82.7 (182) | 80.3 (196) | -2.4 | . 507 |
| Women | ( $\mathrm{N}=208$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=205$ ) |  |  |
| Jealous or angry if participant talk to member opposite sex | 74.0 (154) | 62.9 (129) | -11.1 | . 015 |
| Frequently accuses participant of being unfaithful | 12.0 (25) | 11.7 (24) | -0.3 | . 922 |
| Does not permit participant to meet same sex friends | 33.2 (69) | 27.3 (56) | -5.9 | . 195 |
| Tries to limit participant's contact with family | 16.8 (35) | 10.7 (22) | -6.1 | . 073 |
| Insists on knowing where participant is at all times | 87.0 (181) | 70.7 (145) | 16.3 | <. 001 |
| Partner exhibits at least 1 controlling behavior | 96.2 (200) | 83.9 (172) | -12.3 | <. 001 |

## Attitudes about power relations

Figure 2. Percentage of respondents agreeing or disagreeing with the concept that males and females should have equal access to social, economic, and political opportunities, total sample and stratified by sex


There was more agreement that women should have equal rights and receive the same treatment as men do at endline than at baseline for the total sample ( $69 \% \mathrm{vs} .60 \%$, $\mathrm{p}<.001$ ) and among both men and women (Figure 2). A greater increase was observed among men than among women ( 10.5 percentage points vs. 5.6 percentage points), but the proportion agreeing with equal rights and treatment at baseline was higher among women than among men ( $66 \%$ vs. $56 \%$ ).

Attitudes about whether men make better political leaders became more polarized after the campaign (Table 12). There was both more agreement at endline than at baseline ( $47 \%$ vs. $38 \%$ ) and more disagreement ( $38 \%$ vs. $0 \%$ ); the trend was similar among men and women (Appendix 4, Table 6). In regard to the statement "When jobs are scarce, men should have more right to a job than women," there was a strong trend of more disagreement after the campaign than before (Appendix 4, Table 6).

Table 12. Percentage of respondents agreeing or disagreeing with the concept that males and females should have equal access to social, economic, and political opportunities, total sample


## Willingness to Respond to Incidents of Violence against Women

Respondents were asked if and how they would respond if they saw a strange man being violent toward a woman. At endline, the total sample, men, and women expressed slightly less willingness to respond than they did at baseline (Table 13). The change was highly significant
for the total sample, significant among men, and not significant among women. No respondent reported no to all items (Appendix 4, Tables 9 and 10).

Table 13. Percentage distribution of respondents, by willingness to respond when observing a violent episode between a woman and a strange man, total sample and stratified by sex

|  | Total sample |  | Men |  | Women |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline <br> $(n=704)$ | Endline <br> $(n=721)$ | Baseline <br> $(n=405)$ | Endline <br> $(n=415)$ | Baseline <br> $(n=299)$ | Endline <br> $(n=306)$ |
|  | $(\mathrm{p}=.023)$ |  | $(\mathrm{p}=.053)$ |  | $(\mathrm{p}=.370)$ |  |
|  | $58.7 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | $56.1 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ |
| Don't know | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Would not respond | $41.3 \%$ | $43.1 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $44.1 \%$ |

For the total sample, after the campaign, respondents were less willing to intervene during a violent episode, to call the police, or to mobilize neighbors and were slightly more willing to do nothing and to avoid or shun the perpetrator (Appendix 4, Table 9). Among women, there was a slight but significant increase in willingness to speak to the perpetrator after the episode (from $62 \%$ to $71 \%$ ) (Appendix 4, Table 10). Overall, changes were more pronounced among men than women, with fewer men being willing to respond at endline than at baseline (Appendix 4, Table 10).

## Knowledge of and Attitudes toward Angola's DV Law

Most men and women were aware of the existence of Angola's DV law, although there was greater awareness after the campaign ( $85 \%$ baseline, $90 \%$ endline, $\mathrm{p}=.01$ ). Most men ( $91-92 \%$ ) were aware that Angola has a DV law (Table 14). However, there was a large increase in awareness of the law among women, from $77 \%$ at baseline to $86 \%$ at endline ( $\mathrm{p}=.007$ ). At endline, attitudes toward the law were more positive. Significantly fewer respondents felt that the law makes it too easy for a woman to bring a violence charge against a man, is too harsh or not harsh enough, targets men unfairly, deals with an issue that should be handled by the family, or does not provide sufficient protection for the victim. Among male respondents, $57 \%$ at baseline felt that the DV law unfairly targeted men, compared with $33 \%$ at endline ( $\leq .001$ ). Both men and women demonstrated significantly improved attitudes toward the harshness of the law: Eighty-eight percent of men at baseline and $60 \%$ at endline felt that the law was too harsh, as did $92 \%$ of women at baseline and $56 \%$ at endline.

Table 14. Percentage of respondents reporting knowledge of and beliefs regarding Angola's domestic violence law, stratified by sex

|  |  | Men |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Baseline$(n=405)$ |  | Endline$(n=415)$ |  | P | Baseline$(n=299)$ |  | Endline(n=306) |  | p |
|  |  | \% | n | \% | n |  | \% | n | \% | n |  |
| Does Angola have a law that deals with DV? | Yes | 90.8 | 364 | 92.3 | 383 | . 73 | 77.3 | 225 | 85.8 | 260 | . 007 |
| The DV law makes it too easy for a woman to bring a violence charge against a man. | Agree | 85.4 | 276 | 80.2 | 333 | . 07 | 97.2 | 211 | 72.8 | 179 | $\leq .001$ |
| The DV law is too harsh. | Agree | 87.8 | 258 | 59.9 | 249 | $\leq .001$ | 91.8 | 191 | 55.9 | 124 | $\leq .001$ |
| The DV law is not harsh enough. | Agree | 55.2 | 160 | 37.5 | 156 | $\leq .001$ | 46.2 | 86 | 54.3 | 114 | . 11 |
| The DV law does not provide enough protection for the victim of violence. | Agree | 45.9 | 140 | 31.3 | 130 | $\leq .001$ | 39.3 | 72 | 40.8 | 89 | . 76 |
| The DV law exposes the woman to even more stigmatization and pain. | Agree | 25.2 | 75 | 11.6 | 48 | $\leq .001$ | 14.3 | 22 | 8.8 | 20 | . 09 |
| The DV law unfairly targets men. | Agree | 56.6 | 158 | 32.7 | 136 | $\leq .001$ | 12.6 | 19 | 13.2 | 30 | . 86 |
| The DV law deals with an issue that should be dealt with by the family. | Agree | 66.3 | 173 | 52.7 | 219 | $\leq .001$ | 85.1 | 166 | 56.9 | 132 | $\leq .001$ |

## Discussion and Conclusion

The evaluation results demonstrate that in a short, five-month time frame, RESPOND's intensive GBV awareness campaign achieved improvements in attitudes toward GBV and gender-equitable behaviors. Comparing findings at baseline and endline, women's attitudes related to GBV improved by 11.5 percentage points (in terms of justification), but among men there was an 11.7 percentage-point change in the opposite direction. Eighty-six percent of respondents reported exposure to at least one aspect of the awareness campaign, and the findings suggest that exposure was associated with a reduction in the belief that GBV is justified, possibly by as much as 10 percentage points. There was also an increase of 21.5 percentage points in shared decision making among respondents. The sole component of the campaign that had virtually no effect was its promotion of bystander responsiveness to GBV.

Figure 3 highlights other major findings.
Figure 3. Highlights of evaluation findings

- Respondents (especially women) were more likely to oppose gender inequity.
- Joint decision making among couples had increased.
- Both men and women reported they were more likely to share some domestic chores.
- Respondents were more likely to believe that women should have equal rights.
- Women reported that controlling behavior by their partners had decreased.
- Women were less likely to believe in justifications for DV.
- Respondents, especially men, were less likely to believe in myths about rape.
- Awareness about Angola's DV law increased markedly among women, and attitudes toward the law were more positive.

The baseline results indicated a strong need to work with women to help them become familiar with their rights, improve their independence, and find ways to support each other. Also at baseline, the study identified a need to work with men to improve attitudes toward DV, sharing of domestic chores, and sharing of decision making; in this study, at endline, both men and women reported improvements in shared decision making and some shared domestic chores. The MAP strategy implemented in South Africa (Peacock \& Levack, 2004) found improved attitudes toward shared decision making, which has been found to be protective against GBV in the Philippines (Hindin \& Adair, 2002).

Findings from this study are consistent with other evaluations of similar community outreach and mobilization campaigns. At baseline, this study found that more women than men agreed with concepts that are gender-inequitable and that support GBV; this finding is consistent with other studies (Gage, 2005; Lawoko, 2006). In this study, after the campaign, significantly fewer
men thought rape was justified; similar results have been observed in assessments of the MAP approach in South Africa (Peacock \& Levack, 2004), as well as in group education programs in the United States (Foubert \& La Voy, 2000). An evaluation of a MAP intervention in Ethiopia, which used both group education and community mobilization strategies, demonstrated a significant decrease in reported perpetration of intimate partner violence by men (Pulerwitz et al., 2010).

## Exposure to GBV Messages

Respondents demonstrated a relatively high exposure to GBV prevention messages before the campaign; nevertheless, there was a substantial increase at endline in exposure to GBV prevention messages in general and considerable exposure to campaign messages in particular. The vast majority of baseline respondents had at some time seen or heard messages about preventing GBV. Still, exposure to campaign messages, while less than universal, was broad ( $84 \%$ of endline respondents had some exposure).

## Gender Equity and Gender Roles

The campaign clearly challenged norms and expectations that support GBV, while at the same time promoting gender-equitable norms. As measured by the GEM Scale, women saw a significant improvement. Among men, high scores on the GEM Scale, indicating support for gender-equity concepts, increased by about eight percentage points, but low scores increased at almost the same rate-by six percentage points. Thus, improvements among some men were offset to some degree by decreased agreement with equity concepts among other men. After the campaign, respondents reported a two-fold increase in joint decision making, and there was greater agreement that women should have equal rights.

At endline, more women reported responsibility for house repairs, and there was more shared responsibility for purchasing food and paying bills. Even though most men and women at both baseline and endline reported they were satisfied with the division of labor within their households, the campaign produced a $32 \%$ increase in sharing and joint conduct of domestic chores (from $16 \%$ at baseline to $21 \%$ at endline); the majority of the observed change was attributable to changes among women.

The IMAGES multicountry survey conducted in 2009-2010 found that men generally held negative attitudes toward GBV laws (Barker et al., 2011). In this study in Angola, attitudes about the DV law improved among both men and women after the campaign.

Certain relational aspects, such as shared decision making, controlling behavior, and sharing of some household tasks, improved significantly over the course of the campaign; this finding suggests that an awareness campaign that targets both men and women to promote genderequitable relationships and to prevent DV can lead to reflection on and promotion of more equitable behaviors. While campaign designers had hoped that the campaign would positively shift attitudes around GBV (which it did in many cases, especially among women), they did not expect the level of the campaign's impact on relationship behaviors. Group education alone, or in combination with an awareness campaign (such as the one described in this report), might lead to even stronger attitudinal and behavioral changes; further operational
research is needed, as inequitable decision making and controlling behavior among males are strongly associated with the incidence of GBV.

## Gender-Based Violence

The evaluation examined beliefs about justifications for DV, rape myths, and bystander responsiveness to GBV. It showed sex-specific mixed results on whether the campaign reduced the belief that GBV is sometimes justified. By endline, the percentage of men who believed in at least one justification for DV had increased significantly; among women, on the other hand, by endline there was a large decline in the percentage agreeing with at least one justification for DV. Exposure to campaign messages was associated with a reduction in justification among all endline respondents, though the association appears stronger and more consistent among men.

RESPOND developed three new DV criteria for this study: the woman disobeys the man, talks back to him, or is unfaithful to him. The three new criteria revealed significant increases in justification for violence among both men and women. To further illuminate justification for DV, future evaluations may want to add these three indicators (or other context-specific indicators) to the five DV questions from the DHS. Responses to the indicator about unfaithfulness revealed that fidelity is an important justification in the Angolan context (especially from women's point of view).

At endline, significantly more men and fewer women agreed with all eight justifications for DV. WHO and DHS multicountry assessments and other studies have found that women who support wife-beating are at increased risk of experiencing DV (Fournier et al., 1999; Hindin, Kishor, \& Ansara, 2008; Uthman, Lawoko, \& Moradi, 2009; Abramsky et al., 2011). Therefore, identifying and being able to address women's attitudes toward GBV is an important strategy.

Few men or women agreed with rape myths before the campaign, yet even with little room for improvement, there were significant shifts toward not believing rape to be justified. Fewer women than men agreed with rape myths both before and after the campaign. Because men had more room for improvement, it was not surprising that a larger improvement was observed in men's attitudes toward rape myths than in women's attitudes.

The sole component of the campaign that had virtually no effect was its promotion of bystander responsiveness to GBV. This may be because baseline results were much higher than hypothesized. It is also possible that campaign discussions presented realities of intervention that made respondents reconsider by endline the costs or the process of intervention; by contrast, at baseline, they may have answered more hypothetically.

## Did the Campaign Increase Resistance among Men?

In some cases, men's views in support of gender inequity and GBV increased after the campaign or showed little change. For instance, as described above, men were more likely to believe in eight justifications for DV after the campaign than before. For the GEM Scale indicators, men's attitudes showed little change. Among men, high scores on the GEM Scale
increased by about eight percentage points at endline, indicating support for gender-equity concepts, but low scores among men also increased (by six percentage points). Among women, high scores changed significantly, rising by 25 percentage points. These findings suggest that the campaign may have resulted in resistance to change and to the goals of the campaign among some men, while leading to improvements among others. If resistance did result, it may have been associated only with certain reported attitudes, because the majority of reported behaviors appeared to improve after the campaign (e.g., shared decision making increased, and men were less likely to exhibit controlling behavior). These results highlight the complexity of individual-level changes in regards to gender-equitable attitudes and behaviors.

One factor that may have contributed to the apparent resistance of male respondents was the characteristics of GBV activists working in the campaign. During the campaign, both male and female GBV activists distributed campaign materials, spoke to community members, and organized events; both male and female activists reported having greater difficulty speaking to men about the campaign themes and distributing materials to men than to women. The GBV activists were young (all of them were under 30). It is possible that the young unmarried men had great difficulty reaching out to older married men to discuss gender equity and prevention of GBV. The women also reported difficulty in reaching out to older men, though less so than the young men.

Any replication of this approach should ensure programming strategies that (1) reach out to older males to serve as champions (or even spokespersons) for the campaign or (2) recruit older male and female activists. This would help guarantee that activists and male champions are similar to the men targeted by the campaign (e.g., married men or those with partners). Also, implementing group education activities that complement the campaign might help to identify demographically similar activists and to promote men's receptivity, engagement, and reflection.

## Study Limitations

The results of this evaluation must be interpreted with some caution, because there was no concurrent comparison group. Other local efforts to improve attitudes about and responsiveness to GBV may have influenced observed changes. For example, media programs that perpetuate or aggravate gender inequity and violence may have been ongoing during the intervention and evaluation period. Campaigns that use mass media or that expose target areas to messages often use uncontrolled pre-post comparisons because identifying areas that are both unexposed to the campaign messages and sociodemographically similar is often not possible (Gage, 2005; Lawoko, 2006; Foubert \& LaVoy, 2000).

In addition, there were some differences in participant characteristics between the baseline and endline survey samples. Importantly, endline participants were more likely to be educated, Catholic, speak a language other than Portuguese at home, work outside the home, have household assets and be exposed to media, and have more than one partner. While multivariate analysis (not presented) suggests that little of the variation between baseline and endline outcomes can be explained by these differences in participant characteristics, these differences may still have biased the results. Because these characteristics are diverse and might
influence outcomes differently, their differences may have led either to over- or underestimation of the awareness campaign's effects.

Findings from the evaluation are specific to the Cazenga submunicipality and may not be generalizable to broader Luanda or to Angola as a whole. Where the findings from this study are consistent with those of other studies attempting to improve knowledge and attitudes regarding GBV, the Angola findings may be generalizable. In particular, compared with the earlier nationally representative survey (COSEP Consultoria, 2012), the survey sample was similar with respect to age and marital status. The sample was different with respect to religious affiliation and education, but that is likely because it was of an urban population.

Finally, social desirability may have biased the findings both at baseline and at endline. For example, if respondents believed acceptance of violence was undesired, then they may have given responses that they believed the interviewer was seeking. This bias may be more likely for the endline survey; if respondents had been exposed to the campaign's messages, they may have felt pressured to voice attitudes that condemn rather than condone violence, even if their own attitudes had not changed. For this reason, this bias would have overestimated the impact of the awareness campaign. However, an experienced local research organization, COSEP, was engaged to conduct the surveys. The interviewers and their supervisors received training in how to maintain neutrality throughout the interviews-when posing questions and when documenting answers. The informed consent document did not explicitly state that the purpose of the campaign was to assess gender norms and attitudes about GBV.

## Conclusions

Short interventions such as that implemented by RESPOND and its partners can significantly and broadly improve attitudes and knowledge about GBV and potentially improve relationship behaviors. The awareness campaign successfully promoted gender equity, increased joint decision making among couples, increased sharing of some domestic chores, increased the belief that women should have equal rights, reduced controlling behaviors among men, decreased beliefs among women that domestic violence is sometimes justified, counteracted myths about rape, and increased awareness about Angola's DV law. While the project results indicate the GBV campaign was broadly successful, longer intervention periods coupled with group education workshops and engagement with older married men may achieve stronger, more widespread, and more lasting success.
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## Appendix 1

## Key Findings and Recommendations from RESPOND/Angola GBV Assessment, 2011

## Capacity

- The government and civil society have low capacity with respect to programming in gender and gender-based violence (GBV).
- The government generally brings in trainers from abroad or sends Angolan trainees to other countries. There appear to be very few human resources for GBV and gender-based programming in country.
- It was not possible to gauge the quality of trainings that occur in Angola, but all the trainings reported to the interviewers were lectures or group discussions, with little in terms of skillsbuilding or participatory learning.
- There do not appear to be training materials for participants who are expected to replicate trainings or provide follow-up and support.
- The Ministry of Family and Promotion of Women (MINFAMU), which is tasked with gender, is chronically underfunded and depends on outside support to a large extent.


## Government and Civil Society Response to GBV

- Reconciliation is the primary response to domestic violence (DV). Most of the institutions researchers met with believed their role was to keep the family together.
- Safety of the survivor was almost never mentioned explicitly as a concern.
- Every interviewee except for one said the police routinely turn survivors of violence away. Police often say violence is a family matter or blame the victim.
- Though there are reportedly norms and procedures for how police should deal with GBV and reportedly trainings have been conducted, it was not possible to find any written norms or procedures.
- The number of women who go to the police is only the very tip of the iceberg. In one study, only $1.1 \%$ of survivors of violence reported going to the police, and only $2.2 \%$ reported going to an institution outside the family. Barriers to services mentioned in the interviews contribute to these low percentages. The normalization of DV, stigma around divorce and separation, and the economic dependence of women also contribute.


## Attitudes toward Violence

- Sexual violence seems normalized; only extreme forms (e.g., sexual abuse of children, incest, rape with extreme physical injury) are seen as issues that require intervention.
- Sexual violence within intimate or casual relationships does not appear to be dealt with at this point in time. Sexual violence represents less than $1 \%$ of DV cases attended to by MINFAMU.
- Physical violence seems normalized. Only very obvious cases of extreme injury and threat to life are seen as issues that require intervention. Physical violence represents $16.1 \%$ of DV cases.
- Emotional/psychological violence was not mentioned in most discussions of cases attended to by MINFAMU.
- Most interviewees viewed DV as primarily economic in nature (e.g., nonpayment of child support, abandonment of family, and refusal to register children). Economic violence represents the majority ( $56.8 \%$ ) of DV cases attended to by MINFAMU.


## Recommendations

- Conduct a prevalence study about DV and related attitudes in selected municipalities. Conduct a rapid assessment about the availability and quality of services for survivors of DV.
- Support the creation of a cadre of trainers on gender and DV from different agencies (specifically MINFAMU, the police, MINSA, and civil society).
- Insure minimum standards and protocols for attending to survivors of DV in government health centers, including referral mechanisms, counseling staff, and availability of rape kits that include emergency contraception and prophylactic exposure prevention drugs (for sexually transmitted infections, including HIV).
- Build the capacity of health staff to respond to DV and to follow protocols.
- Ensure the development and implementation of police procedures for dealing with survivors of DV.
- Build the capacity of police personnel to respond to DV and follow procedures.
- Fund and provide technical assistance for the development of educational materials on DV to be used especially at health facilities, police stations, and counseling centers.
- Support the development of data collection systems at police stations, DV counseling centers, and health clinics and centers.
- Support the creation of counseling centers and shelters, including training of staff.


## Appendix 2

## Survey Questionnaires

COSEP - ENGENDERHEALTH GBV BASELINE and ENDLINE EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRES
COSEP - ENGENDERHEALTH GBV EVALUACIÓN INICIAL Y FINAL CAZENGA, LUANDA, ANGOLA

Portuguese translations presented in italics.
Items added to the endline survey that were not included in the baseline survey are in gray font.

| SUPERVISOR FIELD EDITOR SUPERVISOR EDITOR DE CAMPO |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| INTERVIEWER NUMBER <br> NÚMERO DO (A) ENTREVISTADOR | \|__|__| |
| BLOCK NUMBER <br> NÚMERO DO CONGLOMERADO | \|__|__| |
| ANONYMOUS HOUSEHOLD NUMBER. NÚMERO ANÔNIMO DA AGREGADO | \|__|__| |
| DATE DAY <br> DIA | \|__|__| |
| MONTH <br> MÊS | $\left\|\_ـ\right\|$ |
| YEAR <br> ANO | \|__|__|__|__| |
| TIME OF VISIT <br> HORA DA ENTREVISTA | $\qquad$ <br> 24 HOUR CLOCK: RELÓGIO DE 24 HORAS 0001 TO A 2400 |





| B. MEN AND WOMEN 18 TO 49: BACKGROUND <br> B. HOMENS E MULHERES DOS 18 AOS 49: HISTORIAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STB | START TIME FORM B /HORA DE INÍCIO DO FORMULÁRIO B | $\qquad$ <br> 24 HOUR CLOCK: RELÓGIO DE 24 HORAS 0001 TO/A 2400 |
| RESPONDENT LINENO | LINE NO FROM FORM A / LINHA No DO FORMULÁRIO A | $\mid$ __\|__ $\mid$ |
| B1 | In total, how many people usually live in your household? / No total quantas pessoas geralmente vivem na residência? |  |
| B2 | Can you read and write a letter in any language easily, with difficulty, or not at all?/ Pode ler e escrever uma carta em alguma lingua facilmente, com dificuldade ou não pode? | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline\|-\|-\| \\ 01=\text { Easily } / \text { Facilmente } \\ 02 \text { = With Difficulty / Com dificuldade } \\ 03 \text { = Not At All / De modo algum } \\ 98 \text { = Don’t Know / Não sei } \\ 99 \text { = No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{array}$ |
| B2A | Can you read and write a letter in Portuguese easily, with difficulty, or not at all?/ Pode le e escrever uma carta em Portugûês facilmente, com dificuldade ou não pode? |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { B3 } \\ \text { DHS } 104 \end{array}$ | Have you ever attended school? / Frequentou a escola? | ```\(\mid\) ___ \(\mid\) __ \(\mid\) \(01=\) Yes Sim 02 = No Não (SKIP TO QUESTION B4 / SE NÃO, SALTE PARA A PERGUNTA B5) \(98=\) Don't Know Não sabe 99 = No answer Nenbuma Resposta``` |
| COM B4 DHS 106 | What is the highest level of school you have attended? <br> Qual o nivel escolar mais alto que você completou? |  |
| B5 <br> DHS 109 | What is your religion? Qual é a sua religião? |  |
| B6 | Is any language other than Portuguese frequently spoken in this household? Além do Português, |  |


| B. MEN AND WOMEN 18 TO 49: BACKGROUND B. HOMENS E MULHERES DOS 18 AOS 49: HISTORIAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | é falada outra lingua frequentemente nesta residência? | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { PERGUNTA B7) } \\ 98 \text { = Don't Know Não sabe } \\ 99 \text { = No answerNenbuma Resposta } \end{array}$ |
| B6A | What is that language? Qual é a lingua? | $\qquad$ |
| B7 | What is your occupation? Qual é a sua ocupação? <br> PLEASE INDICATE WHAT KIND OF WORK THE RESPONDENT DOES. POR FAVOR INDIQUE O TIPO DE trabalho DO ENTREVISTADO | \|__|__| <br> $01=$ Housewife Doméstica <br> $02=$ Land Owner Proprietário de terra <br> $03=$ Farmer Agricultor <br> $04=$ Agricultural Worker, Fisherman, Day/Informal Laborer Trabalhador agricola, Pescador, Trabalbador informal (Zungueira,...) <br> $05=$ Domestic Laborer (Servant, Maid) Empregada doméstica <br> $06=$ Nonagricultural Worker (Factory, Blue Collar Service), SemiSkilled Laborer Supervisor, Seamstress/Tailor), Home-Based Manufacturing (Handicrafts, Food Products), Small Businessman (Trader) Trabalbador não-agrícola (Fábrica, Operário), Supervisor semiqualificados, Costureira / Alfaiate), Produtos de fabrico caseiro (artesanato, produtos alimenticios), pequeno empresário (comerciante) <br> $07=$ Policeman, Armed Services Polícia, Forças Armadas <br> $08=$ Community Health Worker Agente de Saúde Comunitária <br> $09=$ Professional (Doctor, Lawyer, Dentist, Accountant, Teacher, Nurse, Mid Or High Level Services), Big Businessman Profissional (médico, advogado, dentista, Contabilista, Professor, Enfermeiro, Serviços de nivel médio ou elevado), Empresário <br> $10=$ Retired Reformado <br> $90=$ None/No Occupation/ Unemployed Nenbum/Sem Ocupação/ Desempregado (SALTAR A B9) <br> $97=$ Other Outro <br> $98=$ Don't Know Não Sabe <br> $99=$ No answerNenbuma Resposta |
| B8 | Do you currently work outside of the home? Actualmente trabalba fora de casa? |  |


| B. MEN AND WOMEN 18 TO 49: BACKGROUND <br> B. HOMENS E MULHERES DOS 18 AOS 49: HISTORIAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B9_0 <br> IMAGES 5.1 <br> (slightly modified for past tense) | Have you ever had a regular or stable partner? By partner we mean boyfriend, girlfriend, or spouse. <br> Você já teve uma parceira(o) estável? <br> Por parceira (o) estável entenda-se como namorada, namorado ou esposa, esposo. |  |
| B9 <br> DHS 8 | What is your current marital status? Qual o sen estado civil actual? | ```\(\mid\) __\|__ \(\mid\) \(01=\) Married/casado \(02=\) Living Together/vive maritalmente (SKIP TO B9A SALTAR A B9A) 03 = Divorced/Separated/divorciado/separado (SKIP TO B9A SALTAR A B9A) \(04=\) Widowed \(/\) Viúvo (SKIP TO B9A SALTAR A B9A) \(05=\) Single \(/\) solteiro (SKIP TO B9A SALTAR A B9A) 99 = No answer Nenbuma Resposta``` |
| B9A_01 | Does your partner currently live with you? <br> O seu parceiro(a) atualmente vive maritalmente com você? | $\begin{aligned} & \|\quad\| \quad \mid \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 99=\text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| B9B | How many months or years have you lived with and/or been married to this partner? Há quantos meses ou anos vive ou e casado com o parceiro? | B9B1 _ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ month (s) mês (es) <br> B9B2 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ \| year (s) ano (s) $99=$ No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| B9A | (FOR MEN) Do you have another partner <br> (PARA HOMENS) Voce tem outra(s) parceiras além da sua mulher? <br> (FOR WOMEN) Does your husband have other partners? <br> (PARA MULHERES) O ten parceiro tem outras parceiras? |  |
| B10 | How many children have you had (including with current and/or former partners)? Quantos filbos tens (incluindo com parcieros atuais ou passados)? | $\begin{aligned} & \|\quad\|-\mid \\ & 00=\text { None Nenbuma } \\ & 99=\text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| B10A | How many of those children are less than 14 years old? <br> Quantos filhos abaixo dos 14 anos tens? | $\begin{aligned} & \|\quad\|-\mid \\ & 00=\text { None Nenhuma } \\ & 99=\text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| B10B | How many of those children currently live with you? <br> Quantos dessas filhos vivem contigo? | $\begin{aligned} & \|\quad\|-\mid \\ & 00=\text { None Nenbuma } \\ & 99=\text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| B11 <br> DHS 103 | Does your household have... O seu agregado tem... |  |


| B. MEN AND WOMEN 18 TO 49: BACKGROUND <br> B. HOMENS E MULHERES DOS 18 AOS 49: HISTORIAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B11A | Electricity Energia |  |
| B11B | Public Water Agua da rede pública |  |
| B11C | A radio Um rádio |  |
| B11D | A refrigerator Uma geleira | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline\|-\|-1 \\ 01=\text { Yes } \operatorname{Sim} \\ 02 \text { = No Não } \\ 99 \text { = No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{array}$ |
| B11E | A television Um televisão | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|\_\|-\quad\|\right. \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 99=\text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| B11F | Computer with internet Computador e internet |  |
| B11G | A generator Um gerador |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { B12 } \\ \text { DHS } 104 \end{array}$ | Does any member of this household own a telephone or a mobile telephone? <br> Algum membro deste agregado possui: Um telefone ou telemóve? |  |
| B13 | Do you read a newspaper or magazine at least once a week, less than once a week or not at all? Você lế jornal ou revista pelo menos uma vez por semana, menos que uma vez, por semana ou não lê? |  |
| B14 | Do you listen to the radio at least once a week, less than once a week or not at all? Você escuta a radio pelo menos uma ver.por semana, menos que uma vez, por semana ou não escuta? | $\square$ <br> $01=$ At least once a week Pelo menos uma ves por semana <br> $02=$ Less than once a week Menos que uma ve凤, por semana <br> $03=$ Not at all Não escuta (SKIP TO B15 / SALTAR A B15) <br> $99=$ No answer Nenbuma Resposta |


| B. MEN AND WOMEN 18 TO 49: BACKGROUND <br> B. HOMENS E MULHERES DOS 18 AOS 49: HISTORIAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B14A <br> B14B <br> B14C <br> B14D <br> B14E | What are the names of the radio programs you listen to the most? Quais são os nomes dos programas de rádio que vocî escuta na maior parte? | A <br> B <br> C <br> D $\qquad$ <br> E $\qquad$ |
| B15 | Do you watch television at least once a week, less than once a week or not at all? Você vê televisão pelo menos uma ver.por semana, menos que uma vez. por semana ou não vê? | $\begin{aligned} & \|-\quad\|-\_\mid \\ & 01=\text { At least once a week Pelo menos uma ves por semana } \\ & 02=\text { Less than once a week Menos que uma vezzor semana } \\ & 03=\text { Not at all Não vê } \\ & 99=\text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |


| C. KNOWLEDGE ATTITUDES FORM <br> C. FORMULÁRIO DE CONHECIMENTO ATITUDES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STC | START TIME FORM C Início Do Formulário C |  | \|__ $\mid$ __ $\mid$ ____ $\mid$ <br> 24 HOUR CLOCK: RELÓGIO DE <br> 24 HORA 0001 TO A 2400 |
| Now I would like to ask you some questions about decision making and men's and women's roles. <br> Agora gostaria de lhe fazer algumas perguntas sobre a tomada de decisão e os papéis do homem e da mulber. <br> CHECK IF RESPONDENT HAS A STABLE PARTNER (B9 - (RESPONSE = 1 OR 2)); IF NOT CURRENTLY PARTNERED SKIP TO QUESTION C3 <br> CONFIRMA SE O ENTREVISTADO(A) TEM UM PARCEIRO ESTAVEL ATUAL COM QUEM HABITA (REPOSTAS 1 2); SE NAO TIVER PARCEIRO COM QUEM VIVE PULE PARA PERGUNTA C3. |  |  |  |
| DHS 821, HH DEC MAK SCALE 1 | C1 | Who makes decisions about major household purchases? Quem normalmente toma as decisões sobre compra de uso doméstico? | $\mid$ __ $\mid$ __ $\mid$ <br> $01=$ Respondent Entrevistado/ a <br> $02=$ Spouse/Domestic Partner Conjuge/ Parceiro Doméstico <br> $03=$ Respondent \& Spouse Jointly <br> Entrevistado e Conjuge em conjunto <br> 04 = Someone Else Outra pessoa <br> $05=$ Respondent and someone else jointly Entrevistado em conjunto com outra pessoa <br> 99 = No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| DHS 822, HH DEC MAK SCALE 3 ADAPTED | C2 | Who makes decisions about visiting family? Quem normalmente toma as decisöes sobre fazer ou receber a visita de familiares? | \|__|__| <br> $01=$ Respondent Entrevistado <br> $02=$ Spouse/Domestic Partner Conjuge/Parceiro Doméstico <br> $03=$ Respondent \& Spouse Jointly <br> Entrevistado e Conjuge em conjunto <br> 04 = Someone Else Outra pessoa <br> $05=$ Respondent And Someone Else Jointly Entrevistado em conjunto com outra $99=$ No answer Nenbuma Resposta |

My next questions will ask you whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with the statements read. Please respond as honestly as possible as it is important for us to understand as a group what the people we interview believe, and not what they think we may want to hear. Being as frank as possible in your answers will help us to benefit most from the information you provide.

| Minhas afirmações seguintes serão para você responder se concorda fortemente, concorda, discorda ou discorda fortemente com as declarações que eu ler. Por favor, responda o mais honestamente possivel, pois é de grande importância nós entendermos o que as pessoas que entrevistamos pensam sobre estes temas. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMAGES 3.1 | C3 | When women work they are taking jobs away from men. <br> Quando as mulberes trabalbam fora de casa, elas estão tirando empregos dos homens. | $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> 01 Strongly Agree Concorda Totalmente 02 Agree Concorda Parcialmente 03 Disagree Discorda Parcialmente 04 Strongly Disagree Discorda Totalmente 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 3.2 | C4 | When women get rights they are taking rights away from men <br> Quando as mulberes conquistam alguns direitos, elas estão roubando direitos dos homens...) | $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> 01 Strongly Agree Concorda Totalmente 02 Agree Concorda Parcialmente 03 Disagree Discorda Parcialmente 04 Strongly Disagree Discorda Totalmente 99 No answerNenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 3.4 | C5 | When a woman is raped, she usually did something careless to put herself in that situation. <br> Quando uma mulber é violada, geralmente é porque ela fez algo que a colocou de alguma forma nessa situação. | $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> 01 Strongly Agree Concorda Totalmente 02 Agree Concorda Parcialmente 03 Disagree Discorda Parcialmente 04 Strongly Disagree Discorda Totalmente 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 3.5 | C6 | In some rape cases women actually want it to happen. <br> Em alguns casos de violacão, as mulberes realmente queriam que isso acontecesse. | $\square$ <br> 01 Strongly Agree Concorda Totalmente 02 Agree Concorda Parcialmente 03 Disagree Discorda Parcialmente 04 Strongly Disagree Discorda Totalmente 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 3.6 | C7 | If a woman doesn't physically fight back, you can't really say it was rape. <br> Se a mulher não resistir fisicamente, você não pode realmente dizer que foi violacão. | $\square$ <br> 01 Strongly Agree Concorda Totalmente 02 Agree Concorda Parcialmente 03 Disagree Discorda Parcialmente 04 Strongly Disagree Discorda Totalmente 99 No answerNenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 3.7 | C8 | In any rape case one would have to question whether the victim has various sexual partners or has a bad reputation. <br> Na investigação sobre qualquer caso de violação é necessário saber se a vítima tem varios parceiros sexuais e possui uma reputacao. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Strongly Agree Concorda Totalmente 02 Agree Concorda Parcialmente 03 DisagreeDiscorda Parcialmente 04 Strongly DisagreeDiscorda Totalmente 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| Now I will ask you if you totally agree, partly agree or disagree with the following statements. <br> Na próxima parte nós perģuntaremos sobre a sua visão das relações entre homens e mulheres. Por favor, diga se você concorda totalmente, concorda parcialmente ou não concorda com as seguintes afirmações: |  |  |  |
| IMAGES 3.8, GEM DOM CHORE \& DAILY 2 ADAPTED | C9 | A woman's most important role is to take care of her home and cook for her family. | \|___|__| <br> 01 Agree Concorda Totalmente |


|  |  | O trabalbo mais importante da mulber é cuidar da casa e cozinbar para sua familia. | 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Não Concorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMAGES 3.9, GEM SEX REL 3 | C10 | Men need sex more than women do. <br> O homem precisa mais de sexo do que a mulber. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda Totalmente <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Não Concorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 3.10 | C11 | Men don't talk about sex, they just do it. <br> Homens não falam sobre sexo, eles fazem. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda Totalmente <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Não Concorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 3.11, GEM VIOL 1 | C12 | There are times when a woman deserves to be beaten. <br> Existem momentos nos quais a mulber merece ser batida. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda Totalmente <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Não Concorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 3.12, GEM DOM CHORE \& DAILY 1 | C13 | Changing diapers, giving kids a bath, and feeding the kids are the mother's responsibility. <br> Trocar fraldas, dar banbo e dar comida ao filho são responsabilidades da mã.. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda Totalmente <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Não Concorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 3.13, GEM RH\&DIS PREV 4 | C14 | It is a woman's responsibility to avoid getting pregnant. <br> É a mulher quem deve tomar as providências para não engravidar. | \|___|__| <br> 01 Agree Concorda Totalmente <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Não Concorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 3.14, CCHANGE DOM CHORE, GEM DOM CHORE \& DAILY 4S | C15 | A man should always have the final word about decisions in his home. <br> A ultima palaura nas decisões importantes da familia deve ser sempre do homem. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda Totalmente <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Não Concorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 3.15, GEM SEX REL 2 | C16 | Real men are always ready to have sex <br> Um homem de verdade sempre esta disposto e pronto para ter relações sexuais. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda Totalmente 02 Partly agreeConcorda Parcialmente 03 Disagree Não Concorda 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 3.16 <br> GEM VIO 2 | C17 | A woman should tolerate violence from her spouse in order to keep her family together A mulber deve aguentar a violência do marido para manter a familia | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda Totalmente <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Não Concorda <br> 99 No answerNenhuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 3.18. GEM RH | C18 | A man and a woman should decide together what type of contraceptive to use <br> O bomem e a mulber devem decidir juntos o tipo de anticoncepcional que vão usar. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda Totalmente <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Não Concorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |


| IMAGES 3.19, GEM | C19 | I would never have a gay friend. <br> Eu nunca teria um amigo homossexual. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda Totalmente <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Não Concorda <br> 99 No answer Nenhuma Resposta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMAGES 3.20, GEM VIO 5 adapted | C20 | If someone insults a man, he should defend his honor using force if necessary. <br> Se alguém insulta um homem ele deve defender a bonra usando força se necessário | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda Totalmente <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Não Concorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 3.22GEM MASC | C21 | Men should be embarrassed if they are unable to get an erection during sex. <br> Homens deveriam ficar constrangidos se forem incapazes de ficar teso/ erecto durante o sexo. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda Totalmente <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Não Concorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| CHECK IF RESPONDENT HAS A STABLE PARTNER (B9 - (RESPONSE = 1 OR 2 ON QUESTION B9); IF NOT CURRENTLY PARTNERED SKIP TO QUESTION C24 |  |  |  |
| IMAGES 5.12 to 5.18 | C22 | If you disregard the help you receive from month how did you and your partner div you did everything, you usually did the ta together, your partner usually did the task <br> Desconsiderar a ajuda recebida por pessoas de for como vocêe e o seu parceiro dividiram as seguintes fer na maioria das vezes; se vocês dividiram as tor na maioria das vezes; ou se sen parceiro fer, tudo. Como você e sua parceira dividem as seguintes tar | utside help (maids, etc), in the past the following tasks: Please tell me if you shared equally or did the tasks or your partner did everything? <br> (empregadas domésticas, etc), no ùltimo mês, efas? Por favor me fale se você fer tudo, se você fas igualmente; se o seu parceiro fez as tarefas |
| IMAGES 5.12 | C22A | Washing clothes <br> Lavar a roupa | $\qquad$ <br> 1 = I did everything Sempre você <br> $2=$ Usually me Geralmente você <br> 3 =-Shared equally or done together <br> Dividem igualmente ou farem juntos <br> 4 =Usually partner Geralmente a (o) parceira(o) <br> 5- = Partner did Everything Sempre a parceira <br> 9- =Did not apply Não se aplica |
| IMAGES 5.13 | C22B | Repairing house <br> Consertar a casa (trocar lampada, arranjar fechadura, pintar, consertar porta e janela, arranjar o telbado...) | $\qquad$ <br> 1 = I did everything Sempre você <br> 2 = Usually me Geralmente vocî <br> 3 = Shared equally or done together Dividem igualmente ou fazem juntos <br> 4 =Usually partner Geralmente a (o) parceira(o) <br> 5 =Partner did Everything Sempre a parceira (0) <br> $9=$ Did not apply Não se aplica |
| IMAGES 5.14 | C22C | Buying food <br> Comprar comida | $\begin{aligned} & \mid-1-1 \\ & 1=1 \text { did everything Sempre você } \end{aligned}$ |


|  |  |  | 2 = Usually me Geralmente você <br> $3=$ Shared equally or done together <br> Dividem igualmente ou fazem juntos <br> 4 = Usually partner Geralmente a (o) parceira(o) <br> $5=$ Partner did Everything Sempre a parceira(o) <br> $9=$ Did not apply Não se aplica |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMAGES 5.15 | C22D | Cleaning the house <br> Limpar a casa | \|__|__| <br> 1 = I did everything Sempre você <br> 2 = Usually me Geralmente vocî <br> 3 =-Shared equally or done together <br> Dividem igualmente ou fazem juntos <br> 4 =Usually partner Geralmente a (o) parceira(o) <br> 5- = Partner did Everything Sempre a parceira(o) <br> 9- =Did not apply Não se aplica |
| IMAGES 5.16 | C22E | Cleaning the bathroom/toilet <br> Limpar o banheiro | \|__|__| <br> 1 = I did everything Sempre você <br> 2 = Usually me Geralmente vocî <br> 3 =-Shared equally or done together <br> Dividem igualmente ou fazem juntos <br> 4 = Usually partner Geralmente a (o) parceira(o) <br> 5- = Partner did Everything Sempre a parceira(o) <br> 9- = Did not apply Não se aplica |
| IMAGES 5.17 | C22F | Preparing food <br> Preparar a comida | \|__|__| <br> $1=\mathrm{I}$ did everything Sempre voĉ <br> $2=$ Usually me Geralmente você <br> 3 =-Shared equally or done together <br> Dividem igualmente ou fazem juntos <br> 4 =Usually partner Geralmente a (o) parceira(o) <br> $5=$ Partner did Everything Sempre a parceira(o) <br> $9=$ Did not apply Não se aplica |
| IMAGES 5.18 | C22G | Paying bills <br> Pagar as contas | \|_____| <br> 1 = I did everything Sempre você <br> $2=$ Usually me Geralmente você <br> 3 = Shared equally or done together <br> Dividem igualmente ou fazem juntos <br> 4 = Usually partner Geralmente a (o) parceira(o) <br> $5=$ Partner did Everything Sempre a parceira(o) <br> $9=$ Did not apply Não se aplica |
| NEW | C23 | Are you satisfied with this division of labor? Está satisfeito com esta divisão de tarefas? |  |


|  |  |  | $98=$ Don't Know Não sabe <br> $99=$ No Answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? A que ponto concorda ou discorda com as afirmacōes abaixo? ; Concorda Totalmente, Concorda, Não Concorda Nem Discorda, Discorda ou Discorda Totalmente? |  |  |  |
| STIR GNDR-4. | C24 | Women should have equal rights with men and receive the same treatment as men do. As mulheres devem ter direitos iguais aos homens e devem receber o mesmo tratamento. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Strongly Agree Concorda Totalmente 02 Agree Concorda <br> 03 Neither Agree nor Disagree Não Concorda Não Discorda <br> 04 Disagree Discorda <br> 05 Strongly Disagree Disorda Totalmente <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| STIR | C25 | Men make better political leaders than women and should be elected rather than women. Homens são melhores lideres politicos do que mulberes, por isso deveriam ser eleitos em vez de mulheres. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Strongly Agree Concorda Totalmente 02 Agree Concorda 03 Neither Agree nor Disagree Não Concorda Não Discorda 04 Disagree Discorda 05 Strongly Disagree Discorda Totalmente <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| STIR | C26 | When jobs are scarce, men should have more right to a job than women. Quando os empregos estão escassos, os homens devem ter mais direito a emprego do que as mulberes. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Strongly Agree Concorda Totalmente 02 Agree Concorda <br> 03 Neither Agree nor Disagree Não Concorda Não Discorda <br> 04 Disagree Discorda <br> 05 Strongly Disagree Discorda Totalmente <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| For these next questions, please answer if you agree, partly agree or disagree Para as próximas perguntas, por favor diga se concorda, concorda parcialmente ou discorda |  |  |  |
| CCHANGE DOM CHORES, GEM DOM CHORE \& DAILY 5 | C27 | A woman should obey her husband in all things. <br> A mulber deve obedecer o marido em todas as coisas. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| NEW | C28 | Men can take care of children just as well as women can. <br> Homens podem tomar conta das crianças tão bem quanto as mulberes. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| GENDER REL SCALE POWER SUB 5 | C29 | When my partner and I disagree, $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ gets his/her way most of the time. Quando discordo com o meu parceiro(a) ela/ ele leva vantagem na maioria das vezes. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |


| GENDER REL SCALE POWER SUB 6 | C30 | I feel comfortable discussing family planning with my partner. Sinto-me confortável a discutir planeamento familiar com o/ a parceiro(a). | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENDER REL EQUITY SUB 11 | C31 | A woman can suggest using condoms just like a man can. <br> A mulber pode sugerir o uso do preservativo da mesma forma que o bomem. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| GEM RH\&DIS PREV 1 | C32 | Women who carry condoms are 'easy'. Mulberes que andam com preservativos são fáceis. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| GEM SEX REL 4 | C33 | A man needs other women, even if things with his wife are fine. <br> Um bomem precisa de outras mulberes, mesmo que as coisas entre o casal estejam bem. | 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| GEM SEX REL 7 | C34 | A woman should not initiate sex. A mulber não deveria tomar a iniciativa na relação sexual. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| GEM SEX REL 6 | C35 | It disgusts me when I see a man acting like a woman. <br> Desagrada-me quando vejo um homem a agir como mulber. | $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| NEW | C36 | I believe that on Friday men should be allowed to do what they want. <br> Acredito que na Sexta-Feira deva ser permitido que os homens facam o que quisserem. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| NEW | C37 | Girls and boys should be educated (taught) differently. <br> Raparigas e rapazes devem ser educados de forma diferente. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| NEW | C38 | A relationship/marriage in which power is equal between the man and the woman is good for everyone. Um relacionamento onde existe igualdade de poder entre bomem e mulber é bom para todos. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| NEW | C39 | Men should give their girlfriends an "allowance." Homens devem pagar uma mesada para suas namoradas. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente |


|  |  |  | 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenhuma Resposta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEW | C40 | A woman who wears short skirts and sensual clothing may be responsible for any violence she experiences. <br> A mulber que usa roupa curta e sensual também pode ser responsabilizada por uma violacão sofrida. | $\square$ <br> I__ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| NEW | C41 | You can intervene in a domestic quarrel. <br> Em briga de marido e mulber não se mete a colher. | $\square$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| GEM VIO 6 | C42 | A man using violence against his wife is a private matter that shouldn't be discussed outside the couple. Um bomem usando violência sobre a sua mulber é um assunto privado e não deveria ser discutido fora do casal. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concorda <br> 02 Partly agree Concorda Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| DHS DV GM 826 | C43 | In your opinion, is a husband justified in situations? Na sua opinião, é justificado o mar situacōes? Responda se concordar ou não. | ing or beating his wife in the following bater ou espancar a sua esposa nas seguintes |
| DHS DV GM 826 | C43A | If she goes out without telling him? Se ela sai sem avisá-lo? |  |
| DHS DV GM 826 | C43B | If she neglects the children? Se ela negligencia o cuidado das criancas? Se ela cuida mal dos filhos? | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|=1-\_\right\| \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 03 \text { = Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99 \text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| DHS DV GM 826 | C43C | If she argues with him? Se ela discorda com ele? |  |
| GEM VIO 4 adapted,DHS DV GM 826 | C43D | If she refuses to have sex with him? Se ela recusa ter relações sexuais? |  |
| DHS DV GM 826 | C43E | If she burns the food? Se ela queimar a comida? | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|\_\|-\|\quad\|\right. \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 03 \text { = Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99 \text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |


| ADDED/ADADAPTATION DHS DV GM 826 | C43F | If she disobeys him? Se ela desobedecer o marido? | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|-1-\_\right\| \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 03 \text { = Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99 \text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADDED/ADADAPTATION DHS DV GM 826 | C43G | If she talks back to him? Se ela respondê-lo? | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|-1-\_\right\| \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 03 \text { = Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99 \text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| GEM VIO DOM 3 | C43H | If she unfaithful to him? Se ela lhe trair com outro bomem? | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|\_1-\_\right\| \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 03 \text { = Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99 \text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| CHECK IF RESPONDENT HAS A STABLE PARTNER (B9 =1 OR 2), OR IF HAD A PARTNER IN THE PAST (B9A_02=1); IF NEVER PARTNERED (B9_0 = 2) THEN SKIP TO QUESTION C45 |  |  |  |
|  | C44 | First, I am going to ask you about some situations which happen to some couples. Please tell me if these apply to your relationship with your (last) (partner)? Primeiro, vou perguntar-lhe sobre situações que acontece com alguns casais. Por favor diga-me se estas situaçõs aplicam-se na tua relacão com o teu parceiro (a). |  |
| DHS DV093A | C44A | a) $S /$ he (is/was) jealous or angry if you (talk/talked) to persons of the opposite sex? Ela / ele fica com ciúmes ou com raiva se você fala com pessoas do sexo oposto? | 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| DHS DV093B | C44B | b) $S /$ he frequently (accuses/accused) you of being unfaithful? Ela /ele efrequentemente (acusa / acusou) vocî de ser infiel? | $\begin{aligned} & \mid-1 \text { ___ } \mid \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 98=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99 \text { = No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| DHS DV093C | C44C | c) $\mathrm{S} /$ he (does/did) not permit you to meet your same sex friends? Ela / ele não (permite /permitiu) que você encontre seus amigos do mesmo sexo? | $\begin{aligned} & \mid-1 \text { ___ } \mid \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 98 \text { = Don’t Know Não sabe } \\ & 99 \text { = No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| DHS DV093D | C44D | d) $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{he}$ (tries/tried) to limit your contact with your family? Ela / ele (tenta/ tentou) limitar o seu contacto com a tua familia? |  |
| DHS DV093E | C44E | e) $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{he}$ (insists/insisted) on knowing where you (are/were) at all times? Ela / ele sempre (insiste / insistiu) em saber onde tu (estás / estiveste)? | $\begin{aligned} & \mid-1-1 \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 98=\text { Don’t Know Não sabe } \\ & 99 \text { = No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |


| DHS DV27 ADAPTED | C45 | If a person experienced violence from their intimate partner (spouse), to whom could they go to for help? Se uma pessoa é vítima de violência de seu parceiro intimo (cônjuge), a quem ele/ a poderiam ir para pedir ajuda? | RECORD ALL MENTIONED. REPORTAR TODAS AS RESPOSTAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C45A | A Own Family Familia | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l___\| } \\ & 1=\text { Yes } / \text { Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No/ Não } \\ & 9=\text { No Answer/ Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C45B | B Husband's/Partner's Family Familia do marido/parceiro | $\begin{aligned} & \mid=1 \\ & 1=\mathrm{Yes} / \operatorname{Sim} \\ & 2=\text { No/ Não } \\ & 9=\text { No Answer/ Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C45C | C Former husband Ex-marido | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l___\| } \\ & 1 \text { = Yes } / \text { Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No/ Não } \\ & 9=\text { No Answer/ Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C45D | D Current/Former Boyfriend Namorado actual / Ex-namorado. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l__\| } \mid \\ & 1=\text { Yes } / \text { Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No/ Não } \\ & 9=\text { No Answer/ Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C45E | E Friend Amigo/a | $\begin{aligned} & \mid=1 \\ & 1=\text { Yes } / \text { Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No/ Não } \\ & 9=\text { No Answer/ Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C45F | F Neighbor Vižinbo. |  |
|  | C45G | G Religious Leader Lider religioso | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|\_\_\right\| \\ & 1=\mathrm{Yes} / \operatorname{Sim} \\ & 2=\mathrm{No} / \text { Não } \\ & 9=\text { No Answer/ Nenbuma Resposta. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C45H | H Doctor/Medical Personnel Doutor / Assistência médica | $\begin{aligned} & \mid=1 \\ & 1=\text { Yes } / \text { Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No/ Não } \\ & 9=\text { No Answer/ Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C45I | I Police Policia | $\begin{aligned} & \mid=1 \\ & 1=\text { Yes } / \operatorname{Sim} \\ & 2=\text { No/ Não } \\ & 9=\text { No Answer/ Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C45J | J Lawyer Advogado | $\begin{aligned} & \mid=1 \\ & 1=\text { Yes } / \text { Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No/ Não } \\ & 9=\text { No Answer/ Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |


|  | C45K | K Social Service Organization Organizações de serviços sociais | $\begin{aligned} & l \\ & 1=1 \\ & 1=\text { Yes } / \text { Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No/ Não } \\ & 9=\text { No Answer/ Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C45L | L MINFAMU | $\begin{aligned} & l \\ & 1=1 \\ & 1=\text { Yes } / \operatorname{Sim} \\ & 2=\text { No/ Não } \\ & 9=\text { No Answer/ Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C45M | M OMA (W orld Health Organization) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l___ } \mid \\ & 1=\text { Yes } / \text { Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No/ Não } \\ & 9=\text { No Answer/ Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C45N | N No one Ninguém | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|\_\_\right\| \\ & 1=\text { Yes } / \text { Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No/ Não } \\ & 9=\text { No Answer/ Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C45X | X Other Outro | $\begin{aligned} & l \\ & 1=1 \\ & 1=\text { Yes } / \text { Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No/ Não } \\ & 9=\text { No Answer/ Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |

Now I would like to ask you about laws in Angola.
Agora gostaria de perguntar-lhe sobre as leis em Angola

| IMAGES 6.14 <br> ADAPTATION | C46 | Does Angola have a law that deals with domestic violence? Angola tem leis que lidam com a violência doméstica? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

IF THEY KNOW OF THE ANGOLA DV LAW: As before, I will ask you whether you agree, partly agree or disagree with the statements I read
SE CONHECEM A LEI ANGOLANA SOBRE VIOLÊNCIA DOMÉSTICA: Perguntarei se concordam, concordam parcialmente ou discordam com as afirmações conforme vou lendo

| IMAGES 6 | C47 | About the DV law, do you think that...? <br> Sobre a Lei de Violência Doméstica, acha que...? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMAGES 6.15 | C47A | It makes it too easy for a woman to bring a violence charge against a man. <br> Elas facilitam demais para uma mulber denunciar um homem por violência. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concordo <br> 02 Partly agree Concordo Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 6.16 | C47B | It is too harsh. <br> Elas são muito severas | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concordo <br> 02 Partly agree Concordo Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 6.17 | C47C | It is not harsh enough. <br> Elas não são severas o suficiente | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concordo <br> 02 Partly agree Concordo Parcialmente |


|  |  |  | 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMAGES 6.18 | C47D | It does not provide enough protection for the victim of violence. <br> Elas não garantem proteção suficiente para vítimas de violência. | $\square$ <br> 01 Agree Concordo <br> 02 Partly agree Concordo Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| IMAGES 6.19 | C47E | It exposes the woman to even more stigmatization and pain. <br> Elas expõem a mulber a mais discriminação e dor. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concordo <br> 02 Partly agree Concordo Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| NEW | C47F | It unfairly targets men. <br> Elas injustamente têm os homens como alvo. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concordo <br> 02 Partly agree Concordo Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| NEW | C47G | It deals with an issue that should be dealt with by the family. <br> Elas lidam com problemas que deveriam ser solucionados pela família. | $\qquad$ <br> 01 Agree Concordo <br> 02 Partly agree Concordo Parcialmente <br> 03 Disagree Discorda <br> 99 No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
| EXPOSURE TO DV CAMPAIGN/MESSAGE <br> EXPOSIÇÃO À CAMPANHA DE VIOLÊNCIA DOMÉSTICA/MENSAGEM |  |  |  |
| IMAGES 6.24 | C48 | Have you ever seen or heard of any campaigns or activities in your community or workplace that tried to involve men in preventing violence against women? <br> Você já viu ou escutou alguma campanha ou atividades na sua comunidade ou local de trabalho que procurava envolver homens na prevenção da violência contra a mulber? |  |
| IMAGES 6.25 | C48A | Have you ever seen an advertisement, program or public service announcement on television questioning men's use of violence against women? <br> Você já viu alguma propaganda ou anúncio no serviço público de saúde ou na televisão questionando o uso de violência dos homens contra as mulheres? | $\begin{aligned} & \mid-1 \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 98=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99=\text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| IMAGES 6.24 ADAPTED | C48B | In the last 4 months, have you seen or heard messages from someone in your community or workplace about preventing violence against women | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|-1-\_\right\| \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 98=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99=\text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |


|  | Nos últimos 4 meses, Você já viu <br> ou escutou alguma campanba ou <br> atividades na sua comunidade ou <br> local de trabalho que procurava <br> envolver homens na prevencão da <br> violència contra a mulher? |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEW | C48C | In the last 4 months, have you spoken with someone in your community or workplace about preventing violence against women? <br> Nos últimos 4 meses, falou com alguém na sua comunidade on trabalbo sobre prevencão da violência contra a mulber? |  | ão sabe <br> huma Resposta |
| NEW | C48E | In the last 2 months, have you heard radio messages about preventing violence against women? <br> Nos últimos 2 meses, ouviu mensagens de rádio sobre prevenção de violência contra as mulberes? | $\begin{aligned} & \mid-1 \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 98=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99=\text { No answer Nenhuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | C48E1 | Which radio messages have you heard about preventing violence against women the last 2 months? <br> Quais são as mensagens da radio tem escutadas sobre a prevenção de violência domestica contra a mulher nos últimos 2 meses? <br> (READ EACH ANSWER; IF THE ANSWER TO a IS 'YES' ASK. LEIA CADA RESPOSTA, SI A RESPOSTA É SIM, PERGUNTA:) "How often did you hear this radio message, more than once a week, once a week, once a month or less often?" Com que frequência você ouviu essa mensagem: mais de uma vez/semana, uma vez/semana, uma ver./ mês ou menos de que isto? | Which heard Qual ouviu | How often <br> Com que frequencia |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 48 \mathrm{E} 1 \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{C} 48 \mathrm{E} 1 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | Talking is the best way to solve the problems <br> O diálogo é o melhor caminho para resolver os problemas | C48E1a $\qquad$ <br> $01=$ Heard Owviu <br> $02=$ Not <br> heard/seen Não owviu $98=\text { Don't }$ <br> Know Não sabe <br> $99=$ No answer <br> Nenhuma Resposta | C48E1b $\qquad$ <br>  $01=$ More than once a week Mais de uma vez por semana $02=$ Once a week <br> Uma ve₹ $p$ or semana $03=$ Once a month |


|  |  |  |  | Uma vez.por mês 04=Less often <br> Menos de que isto $99=\mathrm{NA}$ <br> Nenhuma resposta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 48 \mathrm{E} 2 \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{C} 48 \mathrm{E} 2 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | Always remember: nothing justifies violence. <br> Lembre-se sempre: Nada justifica violência. | C48E2a1 <br> $01=$ Heard Ouviu $02=$ Not heard/seen Não ouviu $98=\text { Don't }$ <br> Know Não sabe <br> $99=$ No answer Nenbuma Resposta | C48E2b $\qquad$ <br> $01=$ More than once a week <br> Mais de uma vez por semana $02=$ Once a week <br> Uma vez.por semana $03=$ Once a month <br> Uma vez por mês 04=Less often <br> Menos de que isto 99=NA <br> Nenbuma resposta |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 48 \mathrm{E} 3 \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{C} 48 \mathrm{E} 3 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | Men and women have the same abilities and should have the same rights <br> Homens e mulberes possuem as mesmas capacidades e devem ter os mesmos direitos | C48E3a $\qquad$ <br> $01=$ Heard Owviu $02=$ Not heard/seen Não ouviu $98=\text { Don't }$ <br> Know Não sabe <br> $99=$ No answer Nenbuma Resposta | C48E3b $\qquad$ <br> $01=$ More than once a week <br> Mais de uma vez por semana $02=$ Once a week <br> Uma vez.por semana $03=$ Once a month <br> Uma vez por mês 04=Less often <br> Menos de que isto 99=NA <br> Nenbuma resposta |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 48 \mathrm{E} 4 \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{C} 48 \mathrm{E} 4 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | No one is better than another and all deserve respect <br> Ninguém é melhor que o outro e todos merecem respeito | C48E4a $\qquad$ <br> $01=$ Heard Owviu $02=$ Not heard/seen Não ouviu $98=\text { Don't }$ <br> Know Não sabe $99=\text { No answer }$ Nenbuma Resposta | C48E4b $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $01=$ More than once a week Mais de uma vez. por semana $02=$ Once a week <br> Uma vezpor semana $03=$ Once a month Uma vez, por mês |


|  |  |  | 04=Less often <br> Menos de que isto $99=\mathrm{NA}$ <br> Nenbuma resposta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEW | C48F | In the last 4 months, have you seen posters about preventing violence against women <br> Nos últimos 4 meses, você já viu cartazes sobre a prevenção da violência contra as mulberes? | $\begin{aligned} & \mid-1 \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 98=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99 \text { = No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C48F_0 | Which posters have you seen about preventing violence against women the last 4 months? (READ EACH C48F1 THROUGH C48F4) <br> Quais destes cartazes sobre prevenção de violência contra a mulber você viu nos ûltimos 4 meses? (LEIA CAD A UM DESDE C48F1 ATE C48F4) | Which seen Qual viu |
|  | C48F1 | Everything got better when we decided to do it together...try it out <br> Tudo melhourou quando decidimos fazer juntos...tente você tambem | $\begin{aligned} & \mid \ldots 1 \\ & 01=\text { Seen Viu } \\ & 02=\text { Not seen Não viu } \\ & 98=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99=\text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C48F2 | We are happy because we share...share as well Somos felizes porque partilhamos...partilha você tambem | $\begin{aligned} & \mid=1 \\ & 01=\text { Seen Viu } \mid \\ & 02=\text { Not seen Não viu } \\ & 98=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99 \text { = No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C48F3 | When there is violence we all lose <br> Onde há violencia todo mundo perde | $\begin{aligned} & \mid=1-1 \\ & 01=\text { Seen Viu } \\ & 02=\text { Not seen Não viu } \\ & 98=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99 \text { = No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C48F4 | In fights between a couple, we can intervene <br> Em briga de marido e mulber, nos metemos a colher! | $\begin{aligned} & \mid=1 \\ & 01=\text { Seen Viu } \\ & 02=\text { Not seen Não viu } \\ & 98=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99 \text { = No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C49H1a | In the last 4 months, have you received a brochure "Everything got better when we decided to do it together...try it out"? <br> Nos ûltimos 4 meses, você recebeu um desdobrável "Tudo Melhourou quando decidimos fazer juntos...tente vc tambem"? |  |


|  | C49H1b | In the last four months, have you received a leaflet <br> "We're happy because we share... you share too"? <br> Nos ûltimos 4 meses, você recebeu um desdobrável "Somos felizes porque partilhamos...partilba vc também."? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C49H2 | In the last 4 months, have you received a brochure "Together we can change our community. Say no to violence " <br> Nos últimos 4 meses, você receben um desdobrável "Juntos podemos mudar nossa comunidade. Diga não a violência."? |  |
|  | C49H3 | In the last 4 months, have you received a brochure <br> Nos últimos 4 meses, você recebeu um desdobrável "Em briga de marido e mulber, nos metemos a colher!'" ? | $\begin{aligned} & \mid-1-1 \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 98=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 99 \text { = No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C49I | In the last 4 months, have you received or read any newsletters about preventing violence against women? <br> Nos últimos 4 meses, recebeu ou len algum boletim de noticias sobre prevenção da violência contra as mulberes? |  |
|  | C48I1 | In the last 4 months, did you read a newsletter called Cazenguinha? <br> Nos uiltimos 4 meses, len o boletim de noticias "Carenguinba"? |  |
|  | C48I2 | (IF YES) how many editions did you read? <br> (SE SIM) quantas edições leu? | $\qquad$ <br> $98=$ Don't Know Não sabe <br> $99=$ No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
|  | C49J | In the last 4 months, have you received any comic book about preventing violence against women? <br> Nos últimos 4 meses, recebeu alguma Banda Desenbada sobre prevenção da violência contra as mulberes? | $\begin{aligned} & \mid-1 \\ & 01=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 02=\text { No Não } \\ & 98=\text { Don’t Know Não sabe } \\ & 99 \text { = No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C48J1 | Did you read any editions of a comic book called the Nzangi Family? |  |


|  |  | Nos últimos 4 meses, leu alguma edição da Banda Desenha " $A$ Familia Nzangi"? | C49K / SALTE PARA A <br> PERGUNTA C49K) <br> 98 = Don't Know Não sabeSKIP TO QUESTION C49K / SALTE PARA A PERGUNTA C49K) <br> 99 = No answer Nenbuma Resposta SKIP TO QUESTION C49K / SALTE PARA A PERGUNTA C49K) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C48J2 | (IF YES) how many editions did you read? <br> (SE SIM) quantas edicooes leu? | \|__|__| <br> $98=$ Don't Know Não sabe <br> 99 = No answer Nenbuma Resposta |
|  | C49K | In the last 4 months, have you received a baseball cap about preventing violence against women? <br> Nos últimos 4 meses, recebeu algum chapeu sobre prevenção da violência contra a mulber? |  |
|  | C49L | In the last 4 months, have you received a Tee shirt about preventing violence against women? <br> Nos ûltimos 4 meses, recebeu alguma camisola sobre prevenção da violência contra a mulber? |  |
| IMAGES 6.26 <br> ADAPTATION | C48G | In the last 4 months, have you participated in any activities (group session, meeting, etc) in your community or workplace about questioning the use of violence by men against women? <br> Nos últimos 4 meses, Você já participou em alguma atividade (sessão em grupo, reunião, etc.) na sua comunidade ou local de trabalho para questionar o uso da violência por parte dos homens contra as mulberes? |  |
|  | C48G1 | Which activities or event about preventing violence against women have you participated in during the last 4 months? (READ LIST) <br> Em que actividades ou eventos sobre prevencão da violência contra mulberes participou durante os últimos 4 meses? (LELA A LISTA) | Which participated in Em qual participou |
|  | C48G1a | Drama/plays/theater/skits? <br> Drama/ interpretações/teatro | C48G1 |


|  | C48G1b | Discussions in church/ <br> Palestras na igreja | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1-1-1 \\ & 01=\text { Seen Assistiu } \\ & 02=\text { Not seen Não assistiu } \\ & 98=\text { Don’t Know Não sabe } \\ & 99=\text { No answer Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMAGES 8.9 | C49 | What would you do if you saw violence being carried out by a stranger (man) against a woman? <br> O que você faria se visse um bomem que você não conhece agredindo uma mulber? | RECORD ALL <br> MENTIONED.SPONTANEOUSLY <br> REPORTAR TODAS RESPOSTAS ESPONTAU |
|  | C49A | Intervene during the episode Interviria durante o e episódio |  |
|  | C49B | Speak to him after the episode... Falaria com o estranho depois do episódio | $\begin{aligned} & \mid=1 \\ & 1=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No Não } \\ & 8=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 9=\text { No Response Nenhuma Resposta } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C49C | Avoid/shun the stranger guy... Se afastaria | $\begin{aligned} & \mid=1 \\ & 1=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No Não } \\ & 8=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 9=\text { No Response Nenhuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C49D | Call the police Chamaria a polícia | $\begin{aligned} & \mid=1 \\ & 1=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No Não } \\ & 8=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 9=\text { No Response Nenhuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C49E | Do nothing, it is their problem Faria nada, o problema é deles | $\begin{aligned} & l=1 \\ & 1=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No Não } \\ & 8=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 9=\text { No Response Nenhuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | C49F | Mobilize the neighbors... <br> Mobilizaria os vizinhos | $\begin{aligned} & l=1 \\ & 1=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No Não } \\ & 8=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 9=\text { No Response Nenhuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |
| NEW | C50 | Did you participate in the same interview a few months ago? / Você participou da mesma entrevista há alguns meses atrás | $\begin{aligned} & \mid=1 \\ & 1=\text { Yes Sim } \\ & 2=\text { No Não } \\ & 8=\text { Don't Know Não sabe } \\ & 9=\text { No Response Nenbuma Resposta } \end{aligned}$ |


| IF THE ANONYMOUS HOUSEHOLD NUMBER ENDS IN ZERO (0) ASK QUESTIONS D1-D5 OTHERWISE THANK |
| :--- | :--- |
| THE RESPONDENT AND END THE INTERVIEW |
| SE O ÚLTIMO NÚMERO ANÓNIMO DO AGREGADO TERMINA EM ZERO (O) FAZER PERGUNTAS D1-D5. CASO |
| CONTRARIO AGRADECER O ENTREVISTADO E TERMINAR A ENTREVISTA |$|$


| RESULTS FORM <br> Formulário de Resultado |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUPERVISOR FIELD EDITOR <br> Supervisor Editor De Campo |  |  | ___ ${ }_{\text {_ }}$ \| |
| INTERVIEWER NUMBER $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{o}}$ do Entrevistador |  |  | ___ ${ }_{-} \mid$ |
| BLOCK NUMBER <br> NÚMERO DO CONGLOMERADO |  |  | \|__|__| |
| ANONYMOUS HOUSEHOLD NUMBER. NÚMERO ANÔNIMO DA RESIDÊNCLA |  |  | \|__|__| |
| DATE DAY Dia |  |  | \|__|__| |
| MONTH <br> Mês |  |  | \|__|__| |
| YEAR <br> Ano |  |  | \|__|__| |
| TIME OF VISITS Hora da Visita |  |  |  |
| LANG: <br> WHAT LANGUAGE(S) WAS INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN? / QUE LÍNGUA (S) FOI REALIZADA NA ENTREVISTA? |  |  | MARK ALL THAT APPLY/ MARCAR TODAS AS OPCOOES APLICÁVEIS $\qquad$ \|01 =Umbumdo Umbundu $\qquad$ \|02 =Kimbundu Kimbundu $\qquad$ \| 03 =Kiscongo Kikongo $\qquad$ \| 04 =Tchokwe Coqwe $\qquad$ \| 05 =Fiote $\qquad$ \|06 =Kwanyama Kwanyama $\qquad$ \|07 =N'ganguela Nganguela $\qquad$ \|08 = Lingala Lingala $\qquad$ \| 97 =Other Outra (Specify/Especificar: |
| RESULT <br> Resultado | ENTER THE RESULT OF THIS VISIT <br> Insira os resultados da visita |  | $\qquad$ <br> COMPLETED Completo <br> PARTIALLY COMPLETED (GO TO rcialmenteCompleto (Ir para P1) <br> NO HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AT HOME OR NO COMPETENT RESPONDENT AT HOME AT TIME OF VISIT (GO TO P1) Ninguém em casa ou entrevistado em competência na altura da visita (Ir para P1) <br> ENTIRE HOUSEHOLD ABSENT FOR EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME (GO TO P1) Toda a família ausente por longo periodo de tempo (Ir para P1) <br> POSTPONED (GO TO P1) Adiado (Ir para P1) REFUSED (GO TO P2) Recusado (Ir para P2) <br> DWELLING VACANT OR ADDRESS NOT A DWELLING (GO TO P2 Moradia vaga ou endereço não é moradia (Ir para P2) <br> DWELLING DESTROYED (GO TO P2 Moradia uída (Ir para P2) |


|  |  | 09 = DWELLING NOT FOUND (GO TO P2) Moradia não encontrada (Ir para P2) <br> $97=$ OTHER (GO TO OTHER) Outro (Ir para outro) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OTHER <br> Outros | EXPLAIN "OTHER" RESULT <br> RESPONSE Explique outros resultados das respostas | (GO TO P2)(Ir para P2) |
| P1 | SCHEDULED DATE FOR RETURN VISIT WITH RESPONDENT, OTHER HOUSEHOLD MEMBER OR NEIGHBOR? Data prevista para visita de retorno com entrevistado, outro membro da família ou vizinho? |  |
| P1A | RECORD SCHEDULED FIRST RETURN DATE Agendar primeira data de retorno | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|\_\_\left\|\_\_\left\|/\left\|\_\_\left\|\_\left\|/\left\|\__{-}\right\| \_\left\|\_-\quad\right\| \_\right\|\right.\right.\right.\right.\right. \\ & \text {Day Month Year } \mid \\ & \text { Dia Mes Ano } \end{aligned}$ |
| P1A1 | DATE OF FIRST RETURN VISIT <br> Data da primeira visita de retorno | $\begin{aligned} & \mid \ldots+\ldots \\ & \text { Day Month Year } \\ & \text { Dia Mes Ano } \end{aligned}$ |
| P1B | IF APPLICABLE, RECORD SCHEDULED SECOND RETURN <br> DATE Se aplicável, agendar segunda visita de retorno |  |
| P1B1 | DATE OF SECOND RETURN <br> VISITData da segunda visita de retorno | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|\_\_\left\|\_\left\|/\left\|\_\_\left\|\_\left\|/\left\|\_\_\left\|\_\left\|\_\left\|\_\right\|\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right. \\ & \text {Day Month Year } \\ & \text { Dia Mes Ano } \end{aligned}$ |
| P1C | IF APPLICABLE, RECORD SCHEDULED THIRD RETURN DATE <br> Se aplicável, agendar terceira visita de retorno | $\begin{aligned} & \mid \ldots+\ldots \\ & \text { Day Month Year } \\ & \text { Dia Mes Ano } \end{aligned}$ |
| P1C1 | DATE OF THIRD RETURN VISITData da terceira visita de retorno |  |
| P2 | ENTER FINAL RESULT (AFTER FIRST OR SECOND RETURN VISIT IF INTERVIEW COMPLETED, OR AFTER THIRD RETURN VISIT) | $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> $01=$ COMPLETED Completo <br> $02=$ PARTIALLY COMPLETED (GO TO P1) Parcialmente <br> Completo (Ir para P1) <br> 03 = NO HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AT HOME OR NO COMPETENT RESPONDENT AT HOME AT TIME OF VISIT (GO TO P1) Ninguém em casa ou entrevistado sem competência na altura da visita (Ir para P1) <br> 04 = ENTIRE HOUSEHOLD ABSENT FOR EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME (GO TO P1) Toda a família ausente por longo periodo de tempo (Ir para P1) <br> $05=$ POSTPONED (GO TO P1) Adiado (Ir para P1) <br> 06 = REFUSED (GO TO P2) Recusado (Ir para P2) <br> $07=$ OTHER (GO TO OTHER) Outro (Ir para outro) |
| OTHER2 | EXPLAIN "OTHER" RESULT RESPONSE Explique "outros" resultados da resposta |  |


| PET | END TIME Insira hora do fim da entrevista |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P3ID | SUPERVISOR'S ID <br> Identificação do Supervisor | \|__|__| |
| P3NAME | SUPERVISOR'S NAME <br> Nome do Supervisor |  |
| P3A | SUPERVISOR REVIEW DATE <br> Data de revisão do supervisor | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|\ldots \_\_\left\|/\left\|\ldots \_\_\|/\| \ldots+\ldots\right.\right.\right. \\ & \text { Day Month Year } \\ & \text { Dia Mes Ano } \end{aligned}$ |

## Appendix 3

## Informed Consent

## This statement must be read verbatim.

Hello, my name is $\qquad$ , and I am working with the (name of local agency). (Name of local agency) is working with EngenderHeath, an international NGO. We are conducting a survey to understand people's beliefs about relationships between men and women. This information will help to develop a campaign to make relationships healthier in your community. In this interview, I will ask you about your background and what you think about certain behaviors. I will not ask you about your personal experiences.

If you agree to participate, the interview will be conducted privately, and the information you provide us will remain confidential. I will not record your surname or any other personal information that might identify you in the questionnaire. The information you provide will be kept secret and locked in a confidential file. Participation poses little or no risk to you; we will keep your participation secret and you will never be identified individually. The information you provide will be combined with that from about 310 men and 310 women. The results of the survey will be presented collectively by gender along with the information provided by the other men and women participating in the study.

Your participation in this assessment is entirely voluntary. You can choose not to speak with me. If you choose not to participate in our survey, you will not be penalized in any way. If you accept to participate and you change your opinion later, you can also ask me to interrupt the interview whenever you want. You may find that you are uncomfortable or do not wish to answer some of the questions. You can freely refuse to answer any questions if you prefer not to. If you participate, you will not receive money or gifts, and you will not benefit directly from your participation. However, your participation will provide critical information about behaviors and relationships and foster healthy behaviors and relationships in your community.

We also expect to come back and request your participation in the same survey in about four months, to determine whether your beliefs have changed in that time. At that time, we will again request your permission to interview you.

Each interview will take about 30 to 40 minutes.
Do you have any questions for me?
If you have any questions after I leave, please feel free to contact the researcher overseeing the assessment, [NAME OMITTED], or EngenderHealth representative, [NAME OMITTED]. Your most open, honest answers, ideas, and opinions are important to our understanding of relationships here.

Voluntarily and of your own free will, are you willing to be interviewed at this time? Yes / No

To be signed by interviewer
I certify that the nature and purpose, the potential benefits, and possible risks associated with participating in this assessment have been explained to the participant.

## Interviewer Signature

Participant Anonymous ID Number $\qquad$ Date $\qquad$
Participant Cluster Number
Participant Household Number $\qquad$

If you have any questions about the study or in the event you believe that you have suffered any harm as the result of your participation in this study, you may contact:

## [NAMES OMITTED.]

NOTE: The informed consent forms contain minimum anonymous identifiers necessary to avert redundant interview, for revisiting households where eligible respondents are absent or unavailable at the time of visit, and for identification of households to be included in the endline survey. As such, all informed consent forms were kept in separate, locked cabinet and, for electronic copies, encrypted/protected files apart from the interview forms to ensure confidentiality was maintained. Lists containing anonymous participant block and household information for revisits and the endline survey were destroyed immediately after use in a manner (shredded, burned) that did not permit capture of information.

## Appendix 4

## Additional Tables

Appendix 4, Table 1. Exposure to GBV messages, activities, and products, stratified by gender


| Received brochure in past 4 months: Everything <br> got better when we decided to do it together-try <br> it out |  |  | 43.7 | 414 |  |  |  | 42.5 | 306 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Received leaflet in past 4 months: We're happy <br> because we share - you share too |  |  | 41.3 | 414 |  |  |  | 42.9 | 301 |  |
| Received brochure in past 4 months: Together we <br> can change our community. Say no to violence |  |  | 51.5 | 415 |  |  |  | 43.2 | 301 |  |
| Received brochure in past 4 months: You can <br> intervene in a domestic quarrel |  |  | 47.7 | 415 |  |  |  | 39.5 | 299 |  |
| In past 4 months, received or read newsletter <br> about preventing violence against women |  |  | 52.2 | 412 |  |  |  | 41.5 | 306 |  |
| In past 4 months, received campaign newsletter |  |  | 52.8 | 415 |  |  |  | 38.6 | 306 |  |
| In past 4 months, received any comic book about <br> preventing violence against women |  |  | 43.0 | 412 |  |  |  | 31.8 | 305 |  |
| In past 4 months, read any editions of a comic <br> book called the Nzangi Family |  |  | 35.2 | 415 |  |  |  | 29.9 | 304 |  |
| In past 4 months, received a hat about preventing <br> violence against women |  |  | 19.3 | 414 |  |  |  | 13.2 | 302 |  |
| In past 4 months, received T-shirt about <br> preventing violence against women |  |  | 17.8 | 415 |  |  |  | 13.1 | 305 |  |
| Participated in drama, play, theater, skit |  |  | 36.9 | 415 |  |  |  | 23.9 | 305 |  |
| Participated in discussion in church |  | 39.0 | 415 |  |  |  | 38.9 | 306 |  |  |

## Appendix 4, Table 2. Gender Equitable Men (GEM) Scale: Unadjusted proportional change in gender equitable attitudes, stratified by sex

|  |  | Male |  |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  |  | pvalue | Baseline |  | Endline |  | \% change | pvalue |
|  |  | \% | n | \% | n |  |  | \% | n | \% | n |  |  |
| A woman's most important role is to take care of her home and cook for her family. | Disagree | 36.8 | 149 | 36.9 | 153 | +0.8 | . 982 | 28.8 | 86 | 39.9 | 122 | +11.1 | . 004 |
|  | Agree | 47.9 | 194 | 54.9 | 228 | +7.0 | . 044 | 64.2 | 192 | 52.0 | 159 | -12.2 | <. 002 |
| Men need sex more than women do. | Disagree | 49.4 | 199 | 49.4 | 205 | +0.2 | . 999 | 30.4 | 90 | 39.9 | 122 | +9.5 | . 015 |
|  | Agree | 24.8 | 100 | 35.4 | 147 | +10.6 | . 001 | 48.3 | 143 | 47.4 | 145 | -9.3 | . 820 |
| Men don't talk about sex; they just do it. | Disagree | 48.2 | 195 | 64.6 | 268 | +16.4 | <. 001 | 53.6 | 158 | 47.4 | 144 | -6.2 | . 130 |
|  | Agree | 15.6 | 63 | 25.5 | 106 | +10.0 | <. 001 | 21.0 | 62 | 40.1 | 122 | +19.1 | <. 001 |
| There are times when a woman deserves to be beaten. | Disagree | 67.4 | 273 | 61.2 | 254 | -6.2 | . 064 | 55.9 | 167 | 70.5 | 215 | +14.6 | <. 001 |
|  | Agree | 19.0 | 77 | 25.8 | 107 | +6.8 | . 020 | 29.8 | 89 | 16.4 | 50 | -13.4 | <. 001 |
| Changing diapers, giving kids a bath, and feeding the kids are the mother's responsibility. | Disagree | 30.4 | 123 | 31.4 | 130 | +1.0 | . 750 | 7.7 | 23 | 21.9 | 67 | +14.2 | <. 001 |
|  | Agree | 43.0 | 174 | 57.0 | 236 | +14.0 | <. 001 | 86.3 | 258 | 65.0 | 199 | -21.3 | <. 001 |
| It is a woman's responsibility to avoid getting pregnant. | Disagree | 47.4 | 192 | 34.0 | 141 | -13.4 | <. 001 | 12.0 | 36 | 29.7 | 91 | +17.7 | <. 001 |
|  | Agree | 44.7 | 181 | 56.4 | 234 | +11.7 | <. 001 | 80.0 | 239 | 59.5 | 182 | -20.5 | <. 001 |
| A man should have the final word about decisions in his home. | Disagree | 14.1 | 57 | 23.9 | 99 | +9.7 | <. 001 | 13.8 | 41 | 31.4 | 96 | +17.6 | <. 001 |
|  | Agree | 76.2 | 308 | 68.4 | 284 | -7.8 | . 013 | 72.2 | 215 | 53.6 | 164 | -18.6 | <. 001 |
| Men are always ready to have sex. | Disagree | 38.5 | 156 | 41.7 | 173 | +3.1 | . 355 | 52.2 | 155 | 58.5 | 334 | +6.3 | . 120 |
|  | Agree | 38.3 | 155 | 40.7 | 169 | +2.5 | . 473 | 41.1 | 122 | 29.7 | 91 | -11.3 | . 004 |
| A woman should tolerate violence in order to keep her family together. | Disagree | 63.2 | 256 | 78.7 | 325 | +15.5 | <. 001 | 65.8 | 196 | 82.0 | 250 | +16.2 | <. 001 |
|  | Agree | 14.8 | 60 | 17.0 | 70 | +2.1 | . 404 | 30.5 | 91 | 17.1 | 52 | -13.5 | <. 001 |
| If someone insults me, I will defend my reputation, with force if I have to. | Disagree | 60.1 | 242 | 58.5 | 242 | -1.6 | . 643 | 65.4 | 195 | 83.0 | 254 | +17.6 | <. 001 |
|  | Agree | 14.2 | 57 | 32.6 | 135 | +18.5 | <. 001 | 17.1 | 51 | 8.8 | 27 | -8.2 | . 002 |
| A woman should obey her husband in all things. | Disagree | 35.1 | 142 | 46.3 | 415 | +11.2 | . 001 | 39.1 | 117 | 42.8 | 131 | +3.7 | . 358 |
|  | Agree | 43.0 | 174 | 41.5 | 172 | -1.5 | . 660 | 47.8 | 143 | 38.9 | 119 | -8.9 | . 027 |
| Women who carry condoms are easy. | Disagree | 39.7 | 159 | 45.7 | 189 | +6.0 | . 083 | 38.3 | 114 | 52.8 | 161 | +14.5 | <. 001 |
|  | Agree | 49.9 | 200 | 41.6 | 172 | -8.3 | . 017 | 56.0 | 167 | 41.3 | 126 | -14.7 | <. 001 |
| A man needs other women, even if things with his wife are fine. | Disagree | 84.1 | 339 | 78.0 | 322 | -6.1 | . 025 | 70.5 | 295 | 82.4 | 252 | +11.8 | <. 001 |
|  | Agree | 10.8 | 44 | 13.6 | 56 | +2.6 | . 250 | 19.7 | 58 | 13.1 | 40 | -6.6 | . 029 |
| A woman should not initiate sex. | Disagree | 67.1 | 271 | 74.4 | 307 | +7.3 | . 021 | 56.9 | 169 | 70.8 | 216 | +13.9 | <. 001 |
|  | Agree | 18.8 | 76 | 16.7 | 69 | -2.1 | . 422 | 39.1 | 116 | 23.0 | 70 | -16.1 | <. 001 |
| It disgusts me when I see a man acting like a woman. | Disagree | 12.6 | 51 | 14.5 | 60 | +1.8 | . 435 | 5.7 | 17 | 19.6 | 60 | +13.9 | <. 001 |
|  | Agree | 63.7 | 258 | 76.9 | 319 | +13.1 | <. 001 | 72.9 | 218 | 71.2 | 218 | -1.7 | . 648 |
| A man using violence against his wife is a private matter that shouldn't be discussed outside the couple. | Disagree | 64.5 | 260 | 65.1 | 270 | +5.4 | . 871 | 52.9 | 157 | 59.2 | 181 | +6.3 | . 112 |
|  | Agree | 17.4 | 70 | 30.4 | 126 | +13.0 | <. 001 | 41.8 | 124 | 35.3 | 108 | -6.5 | . 103 |

## Appendix 4, Table 3. Additional gender equity indicators, responses to individual statements, total sample and stratified by sex

| Total Sample |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline |  | Endline |  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  |  |
| \% | N | \% | N | p | \% | n | \% | n | p | \% | n | \% | n | p |

IMAGES Questions
When women work, they are taking jobs away from men.

| Strongly <br> agree | 7.1 | 50 | 5.4 | 39 | .003 | 8.6 | 35 | 8.2 | 34 | $\leq .001$ | 5.1 | 15 | 1.6 | 5 | .007 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Agree | 8.0 | 56 | 3.7 | 27 |  | 12.3 | 50 | 3.1 | 13 |  | 2.0 | 6 | 4.6 | 14 |  |
| Disagree | 25.4 | 178 | 27.9 | 201 |  | 27.7 | 112 | 26.7 | 111 |  | 22.2 | 66 | 29.4 | 90 |  |
| Strongly <br> disagree | 59.5 | 418 | 63.0 | 454 |  | 51.4 | 208 | 61.9 | 257 |  | 70.7 | 210 | 64.4 | 197 |  |

When women get rights, they are taking rights away from men.

| Strongly agree | 8.1 | 57 | 5.1 | 37 | $\leq .001$ | 9.1 | 37 | 7.2 | 30 | $\leq .001$ | 6.7 | 20 | 2.3 | 7 | . 01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agree | 11.2 | 79 | 3.7 | 27 |  | 18.3 | 74 | 4.1 | 17 |  | 1.7 | 5 | 3.3 | 10 |  |
| Disagree | 21.9 | 154 | 27.0 | 195 |  | 23.2 | 94 | 27.5 | 114 |  | 20.1 | 60 | 26.5 | 81 |  |
| Strongly dasagree | 58.7 | 413 | 64.1 | 462 |  | 49.4 | 200 | 61.2 | 254 |  | 71.5 | 213 | 68.0 | 208 |  |
| Questions from the Sexual Relationship Power Scale (SRPS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

When my partner and I disagree, s/he gets his/her way most of the time.

| Agree | 30.0 | 169 | 30.4 | 218 | $\leq .001$ | 15.7 | 53 | 24.5 | 101 | $\leq .001$ | 51.1 | 116 | 38.5 | 117 | $\leq .001$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Partly <br> agree | 21.3 | 120 | 12.0 | 86 |  | 20.8 | 70 | 12.4 | 51 |  | 22.0 | 50 | 11.5 | 35 |  |
| Disagree | 48.8 | 275 | 57.5 | 412 |  | 63.5 | 214 | 63.1 | 260 |  | 26.9 | 61 | 50.0 | 152 |  |

I feel comfortable discussing family planning with my partner.

| Agree | 80.5 | 453 | 70.1 | 498 | $\leq .001$ | 78.1 | 267 | 60.0 | 244 | $\leq .001$ | 84.2 | 186 | 83.8 | 254 | .74 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Partly <br> agree | 11.7 | 66 | 5.4 | 38 |  | 15.8 | 54 | 6.1 | 25 |  | 5.4 | 12 | 4.3 | 13 |  |
| Disagree | 7.8 | 44 | 24.5 | 174 |  | 6.1 | 21 | 33.9 | 138 |  | 10.4 | 23 | 11.9 | 36 |  |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

A woman can suggest using condoms just like a man can.

| Agree | 50.7 | 352 | 70.3 | 50.7 | $\leq .001$ | 56.8 | 230 | 76.1 | 316 | $\leq .001$ | 42.2 | 122 | 62.4 | 191 | $\leq .001$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Partly <br> agree | 16.6 | 115 | 8.2 | 16.6 |  | 18.3 | 74 | 7.0 | 29 |  | 14.2 | 41 | 9.8 | 30 |  |
| Disagree | 32.7 | 227 | 21.5 | 32.7 |  | 24.9 | 101 | 16.9 | 70 |  | 43.6 | 126 | 27.8 | 85 |  |

## New Questions

You can intervene in a domestic quarrel.

| Agree | 62.6 | 440 | 56.9 | 409 | $\leq .001$ | 56.8 | 230 | 50.5 | 209 | $\leq .001$ | 70.5 | 210 | 65.6 | 200 | .42 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Partly <br> agree | 17.9 | 126 | 14.9 | 107 |  | 25.9 | 105 | 19.3 | 80 |  | 7.0 | 21 | 8.9 | 27 |  |
| Disagree | 19.5 | 137 | 28.2 | 203 |  | 17.3 | 70 | 30.2 | 125 |  | 22.5 | 67 | 25.6 | 78 |  |
| A woman who wears short skirts and sensual clothing may be responsible for any violence she experiences. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agree | 84.3 | 591 | 88.6 | 639 | .03 | 81.6 | 329 | 89.9 | 373 | .002 | 87.9 | 262 | 86.9 | 266 | .76 |
| Partly <br> agree | 8.1 | 57 | 5.0 | 36 |  | 11.4 | 46 | 5.1 | 21 |  | 3.7 | 11 | 4.9 | 15 |  |
| Disagree | 7.6 | 53 | 6.4 | 46 |  | 6.9 | 28 | 5.1 | 21 |  | 8.4 | 25 | 8.2 | 25 |  |


| Total Sample |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline |  | Endline |  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  |  |
| \% | N | \% | N | P | \% | n | \% | n | p | \% | n | \% | n | p |

I believe that on Friday men should be allowed to do what they want.

| Agree | 13.3 | 93 | 15.3 | 110 | .55 | 12.8 | 52 | 21.7 | 90 | .003 | 13.9 | 41 | 6.5 | 20 | .01 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Partly <br> agree | 6.0 | 42 | 6.1 | 44 |  | 7.4 | 30 | 7.5 | 31 |  | 4.1 | 12 | 4.2 | 13 |  |
| Disagree | 80.7 | 566 | 78.6 | 567 |  | 79.8 | 323 | 70.8 | 294 |  | 82.1 | 243 | 89.2 | 273 |  |

Men should give their girlfriends an "allowance."

| Agree | 30.7 | 215 | 36.1 | 260 | .09 | 29.3 | 118 | 36.9 | 153 | .06 | 32.6 | 97 | 35.1 | 107 | .67 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Partly <br> agree | 12.3 | 86 | 11.4 | 82 |  | 18.1 | 73 | 17.3 | 72 |  | 4.4 | 13 | 3.3 | 10 |  |
| Disagree | 57.1 | 400 | 52.5 | 378 |  | 52.6 | 212 | 45.8 | 190 |  | 63.1 | 188 | 61.6 | 188 |  |

A relationship/marriage in which power is equal between the man and the woman is good for everyone.

| Agree | 64.5 | 454 | 46.0 | 332 | $\leq .001$ | 54.1 | 219 | 37.1 | 154 | $\leq .001$ | 78.6 | 235 | 58.2 | 178 | $\leq .001$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Partly <br> agree | 11.5 | 81 | 11.9 | 86 |  | 16.8 | 68 | 13.0 | 54 |  | 4.3 | 13 | 10.5 | 32 |  |
| Disagree | 24.0 | 169 | 42.0 | 303 |  | 29.1 | 118 | 49.9 | 207 |  | 17.1 | 51 | 31.4 | 96 |  |

Men can take care of children just as well as women can.

| Agree | 41.2 | 289 | 44.2 | 318 | .51 | 48.6 | 196 | 46.7 | 194 | $\leq .001$ | 31.1 | 93 | 40.7 | 124 | $\leq .001$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Partly <br> agree | 20.2 | 142 | 18.8 | 135 |  | 30.5 | 123 | 21.0 | 87 |  | 6.4 | 19 | 15.7 | 48 |  |
| Disagree | 38.6 | 271 | 37.1 | 267 |  | 20.8 | 84 | 32.3 | 134 |  | 62.5 | 187 | 43.6 | 133 |  |
| Girls and boys should be educated (taught) differently. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agree | 26.7 | 188 | 29.8 | 215 | .34 | 29.1 | 118 | 32.0 | 133 | .20 | 23.4 | 70 | 26.8 | 82 | .27 |
| Partly <br> agree | 11.5 | 81 | 10.0 | 72 |  | 16.5 | 67 | 12.3 | 51 |  | 4.7 | 14 | 6.9 | 21 |  |
| Disagree | 61.8 | 435 | 60.2 | 434 |  | 54.3 | 220 | 55.7 | 231 |  | 71.9 | 215 | 66.3 | 203 |  |

A man and a woman should decide together what type of contraceptive to use.

| Agree | 83.4 | 586 | 92.1 | 661 | $\leq .001$ | 76.3 | 309 | 92.7 | 383 | $\leq .001$ | 93.0 | 277 | 91.1 | 278 | .006 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Partly <br> agree | 13.4 | 94 | 2.8 | 20 |  | 19.5 | 79 | 3.1 | 13 |  | 5.0 | 15 | 2.3 | 7 |  |
| Disagree | 3.3 | 23 | 5.1 | 37 |  | 4.2 | 17 | 4.1 | 17 |  | 2.0 | 6 | 6.6 | 20 |  |

I would never have a gay friend.

| Agree | 76.3 | 534 | 67.4 | 485 | $\leq .001$ | 67.3 | 272 | 66.2 | 274 | .24 | 88.5 | 262 | 69.0 | 211 | $\leq .001$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Partly <br> agree | 7.3 | 51 | 7.6 | 55 |  | 11.1 | 45 | 8.5 | 35 |  | 2.0 | 6 | 6.5 | 20 |  |
| Disagree | 16.4 | 115 | 25.0 | 180 |  | 21.5 | 87 | 25.4 | 105 |  | 9.5 | 28 | 24.5 | 75 |  |


| Agree | 45.8 | 321 | 51.7 | 371 | $\leq .001$ | 44.9 | 181 | 58.3 | 240 | $\leq .001$ | 47.0 | 140 | 43.0 | 131 | $\leq .001$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partly agree | 32.8 | 230 | 16.3 | 117 |  | 37.2 | 150 | 17.2 | 71 |  | 26.8 | 80 | 15.1 | 46 |  |
| Disagree | 21.4 | 150 | 31.9 | 229 |  | 17.9 | 72 | 24.5 | 101 |  | 26.2 | 78 | 42.0 | 128 |  |

## Appendix 4, Table 4. IMAGES division of labor for domestic chores, responses to individual statements, total sample

|  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  | p Endline vs. Baseline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% | n | \% | n |  |
| Washing clothes | I did everything | 29.4 | 116 | 31.9 | 130 | . 14 |
|  | Usually me | 11.4 | 45 | 9.6 | 39 |  |
|  | Shared equally or done together | 17.0 | 67 | 22.8 | 93 |  |
|  | Usually partner | 23.4 | 92 | 18.6 | 76 |  |
|  | Partner did Everything | 18.8 | 74 | 17.2 | 70 |  |
| Repairing house | I did everything | 42.1 | 165 | 52.2 | 212 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Usually me | 4.6 | 18 | 3.9 | 16 |  |
|  | Shared equally or done together | 13.5 | 53 | 13.3 | 54 |  |
|  | Usually partner | 23.2 | 91 | 25.1 | 102 |  |
|  | Partner did everything | 16.6 | 65 | 5.4 | 22 |  |
| Buying food | I did everything | 29.2 | 115 | 21.6 | 88 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Usually me | 11.4 | 45 | 10.8 | 44 |  |
|  | Shared equally or done together | 22.8 | 90 | 35.9 | 146 |  |
|  | Usually partner | 19.0 | 75 | 13.0 | 53 |  |
|  | Partner did everything | 17.5 | 69 | 18.7 | 76 |  |
| Cleaning house | I did everything | 31.7 | 125 | 32.9 | 134 | . 07 |
|  | Usually me | 14.7 | 58 | 9.8 | 40 |  |
|  | Shared equally or done together | 12.4 | 49 | 18.2 | 74 |  |
|  | Usually partner | 17.8 | 70 | 16.2 | 66 |  |
|  | Partner did everything | 23.4 | 92 | 22.9 | 93 |  |
| Cleaning bathroom, toilet | I did everything | 31.6 | 124 | 34.2 | 139 | . 28 |
|  | Usually me | 13.5 | 53 | 10.6 | 43 |  |
|  | Shared equally or done together | 17.3 | 68 | 20.7 | 84 |  |
|  | Usually partner | 19.1 | 75 | 15.0 | 61 |  |
|  | Partner did everything | 18.4 | 72 | 19.5 | 79 |  |
| Preparing food | I did everything | 29.9 | 118 | 29.5 | 120 | . 43 |
|  | Usually me | 15.2 | 60 | 11.5 | 47 |  |
|  | Shared equally or done together | 13.7 | 54 | 17.2 | 70 |  |
|  | Usually partner | 17.3 | 68 | 18.4 | 75 |  |
|  | Partner did everything | 23.9 | 94 | 23.3 | 95 |  |
| Paying bills | I did everything | 41.5 | 164 | 43.0 | 175 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Usually me | 3.3 | 13 | 6.6 | 27 |  |
|  | Shared equally or done together | 16.5 | 65 | 20.6 | 84 |  |
|  | Usually partner | 22.5 | 89 | 25.6 | 104 |  |
|  | Partner did everything | 16.2 | 64 | 4.2 | 17 |  |

## Appendix 4, Table 5. IMAGES division of labor for domestic chores, responses to individual statements, stratified by sex

|  |  | Men |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  | p <br> Endline vs. Baseline | Baseline |  | Endline |  | Endline vs. Baseline |
|  |  | \% | n | \% | n |  | \% | n | \% | n |  |
| Washing clothes | I did everything | 3.4 | 7 | 6.3 | 14 | . 005 | 58.6 | 109 | 63.0 | 116 | 15 |
|  | Usually me | 0.5 | 1 | 4.9 | 11 |  | 23.7 | 44 | 15.2 | 28 |  |
|  | Shared equally or done together | 17.8 | 37 | 24.6 | 55 |  | 16.1 | 30 | 20.7 | 38 |  |
|  | Usually partner | 42.8 | 89 | 33.5 | 75 |  | 1.6 | 3 | 0.5 | 1 |  |
|  | Partner did everything | 35.6 | 74 | 30.8 | 69 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |  |
| Repairing house | I did everything | 72.6 | 151 | 77.1 | 172 | . 06 | 7.6 | 14 | 21.9 | 40 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Usually me | 5.8 | 12 | 4.5 | 10 |  | 3.3 | 6 | 3.3 | 6 |  |
|  | Shared equally or done together | 17.3 | 36 | 10.3 | 23 |  | 9.2 | 17 | 16.9 | 31 |  |
|  | Usually partner | 2.4 | 5 | 6.7 | 15 |  | 46.7 | 86 | 47.5 | 87 |  |
|  | Partner did everything | 1.9 | 4 | 1.3 | 3 |  | 33.2 | 61 | 10.4 | 19 |  |
| Buying food | I did everything | 3.8 | 8 | 4.9 | 11 | . 009 | 57.5 | 107 | 42.1 | 77 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Usually me | 0.5 | 1 | 4.9 | 11 |  | 23.7 | 44 | 18.0 | 33 |  |
|  | Shared equally or done together | 30.3 | 63 | 35.7 | 80 |  | 14.5 | 27 | 36.1 | 66 |  |
|  | Usually partner | 32.2 | 67 | 21.4 | 48 |  | 4.3 | 8 | 2.7 | 5 |  |
|  | Partner did everything | 33.2 | 69 | 33.0 | 74 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 1.1 | 2 |  |
| Cleaning house | I did everything | 2.4 | 5 | 7.6 | 17 | . 02 | 64.5 | 120 | 63.9 | 117 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Usually me | 1.0 | 2 | 4.9 | 11 |  | 30.1 | 56 | 15.8 | 29 |  |
|  | Shared equally or done together | 18.8 | 39 | 17.4 | 39 |  | 5.4 | 10 | 19.1 | 35 |  |
|  | Usually partner | 33.7 | 70 | 29.0 | 65 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |  |
|  | Partner did everything | 44.2 | 92 | 41.1 | 92 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |  |
| Cleaning bathroom, toilet | I did everything | 3.4 | 7 | 7.2 | 16 | . 008 | 62.9 | 117 | 67.2 | 123 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Usually me | 0.5 | 1 | 5.4 | 12 |  | 28.0 | 52 | 16.9 | 31 |  |
|  | Shared equally or done together | 26.2 | 54 | 26.5 | 59 |  | 7.5 | 14 | 13.7 | 25 |  |
|  | Usually partner | 35.0 | 72 | 26.5 | 59 |  | 1.6 | 3 | 1.1 | 2 |  |
|  | Partner did everything | 35.0 | 72 | 34.5 | 77 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 1.1 | 2 |  |
| Preparing food | I did everything | 2.4 | 5 | 6.3 | 14 | . 007 | 60.4 | 113 | 57.9 | 106 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Usually me | 0.5 | 1 | 5.4 | 12 |  | 31.6 | 59 | 19.1 | 35 |  |
|  | Shared equally or done together | 18.8 | 39 | 13.8 | 31 |  | 8.0 | 15 | 21.3 | 39 |  |
|  | Usually partner | 32.9 | 68 | 32.6 | 73 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 1.1 | 2 |  |
|  | Partner did everything | 45.4 | 94 | 42.0 | 94 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |  |
| Paying bills | I did everything | 71.6 | 149 | 66.5 | 149 | $\leq .001$ | 8.0 | 15 | 14.2 | 26 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Usually me | 4.8 | 10 | 9.4 | 21 |  | 1.6 | 3 | 3.3 | 6 |  |
|  | Shared equally or done together | 20.2 | 42 | 14.7 | 33 |  | 12.3 | 23 | 27.9 | 51 |  |
|  | Usually partner | 1.0 | 2 | 8.5 | 19 |  | 46.5 | 87 | 46.4 | 85 |  |
|  | Partner did everything | 2.4 | 5 | 0.9 | 2 |  | 31.6 | 59 | 8.2 | 15 |  |

## Appendix 4, Table 6. GNDR-4: Agreement or disagreement with the concept that males and females should have equal access to social, economic, and political opportunities, stratified by sex

|  |  | Men |  |  |  | p | Women |  |  |  | p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  |  |
|  |  | \% | n | \% | n |  | \% | n | \% | n |  |
| Women should have equal rights with men and receive the same treatment as men do. | Strongly agree | 38.8 | 157 | 59.0 | 245 | $\leq .001$ | 63.2 | 189 | 64.4 | 197 | . 006 |
|  | Agree | 17.3 | 70 | 7.5 | 31 |  | 2.3 | 7 | 6.9 | 21 |  |
|  | Neither agree nor disagree | 18.0 | 73 | 14.7 | 61 |  | 8.0 | 24 | 11.4 | 35 |  |
|  | Disagree | 24.0 | 97 | 16.6 | 69 |  | 25.1 | 75 | 16.7 | 51 |  |
|  | Strongly disagree | 2.0 | 8 | 2.2 | 9 | $\leq .001$ | 1.3 | 4 | . 7 | 2 |  |
| Men make better political leaders than women and should be elected rather than women. | Strongly agree | 29.4 | 119 | 49.2 | 204 |  | 23.7 | 71 | 29.4 | 90 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Agree | 16.8 | 68 | 7.0 | 29 |  | 4.0 | 12 | 5.2 | 16 |  |
|  | Neither agree nor disagree | 53.8 | 218 | 12.3 | 51 |  | 72.2 | 216 | 18.6 | 57 |  |
|  | Disagree | 0.0 | 0 | 26.7 | 111 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 42.5 | 130 |  |
|  | Strongly disagree | 0.0 | 0 | 4.8 | 20 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 4.2 | 13 |  |
| When jobs are scarce, men should have more right to a job than women. | Strongly $\qquad$ <br> agree | 41.2 | 167 | 47.0 | 195 | $\leq .001$ | 42.4 | 126 | 30.8 | 94 | . 01 |
|  | Agree | 22.2 | 90 | 4.6 | 19 |  | 2.0 | 6 | 3.3 | 10 |  |
|  | Neither agree nor disagree | 10.9 | 44 | 10.1 | 42 |  | 12.1 | 36 | 19.7 | 60 |  |
|  | Disagree | 22.7 | 92 | 30.4 | 126 |  | 34.0 | 101 | 37.7 | 115 |  |
|  | Strongly disagree | 3.0 | 12 | 8.0 | 33 |  | 9.4 | 28 | 8.5 | 26 |  |

Appendix 4, Table 7. DHS questions about controlling behavior by the partner, responses to individual statements, stratified by sex

|  |  | Men |  |  |  | p <br> Endline vs. Baseline | Women |  |  |  | Endline vs. <br> Baseline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  |  |
|  |  | \% | n | \% | n |  | \% | n | \% | n |  |
| S/he (is/was) jealous or angry if you (talk/talked) to persons of the opposite sex. | Yes | 57.2 | 119 | 61.8 | 139 | . 33 | 72.7 | 136 | 62.2 | 117 | . 03 |
|  | No | 42.8 | 89 | 38.2 | 86 |  | 27.3 | 51 | 37.8 | 71 |  |
| S/he frequently (accuses/accused) you of being unfaithful. | Yes | 23.1 | 48 | 17.8 | 40 | . 17 | 9.6 | 18 | 11.1 | 21 | . 64 |
|  | No | 76.9 | 160 | 82.2 | 185 |  | 90.4 | 169 | 88.9 | 168 |  |
| S/he (does/did) not permit you to meet your same-sex friends. | Yes | 9.6 | 20 | 12.9 | 29 | . 28 | 32.6 | 61 | 27.0 | 51 | . 23 |
|  | No | 90.4 | 188 | 87.1 | 196 |  | 67.4 | 126 | 73.0 | 138 |  |
| S/he (tries/tried) to limit your contact with your family. | Yes | 3.8 | 8 | 6.7 | 15 | . 19 | 16.5 | 31 | 10.1 | 19 | . 07 |
|  | No | 96.2 | 200 | 93.3 | 210 |  | 83.5 | 157 | 89.9 | 170 |  |
| S/he (insists/insisted) on knowing where you (are/were) at all times. | Yes | 75.0 | 156 | 71.4 | 160 | . 40 | 86.2 | 162 | 69.3 | 131 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | No | 25.0 | 52 | 28.6 | 64 |  | 13.8 | 26 | 30.7 | 58 |  |
| Partner exhibits at least 1 of 5 controlling behaviors. | Yes | 82.2 | 171 | 80.9 | 182 | . 72 | 95.7 | 180 | 83.1 | 157 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | No | 17.8 | 37 | 19.1 | 43 |  | 4.3 | 8 | 16.9 | 32 |  |

Appendix 4, Table 8. Justification for domestic violence, responses to individual statements, total sample and stratified by sex


DK = Don't know

Appendix 4, Table 9. Bystander responsiveness to gender-based violence, responses to individual statements, total sample

|  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  | Endline vs. Baseline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{\%}{\text { Column } N}$ | Count | $\underset{\%}{\text { Column } N}$ | Count |  |
| Intervene during the episode. | Yes | 83.2 | 580 | 74.9 | 540 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | No | 16.8 | 117 | 24.0 | 173 |  |
|  | DK | 0.0 | 0 | 1.1 | 8 |  |
| Speak to the man after the episode. | Yes | 76.6 | 534 | 76.5 | 549 | . 62 |
|  | No | 23.4 | 163 | 23.4 | 168 |  |
|  | DK | 0.0 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 |  |
| Avoid, shun the man. | Yes | 19.4 | 134 | 20.4 | 146 | . 05 |
|  | No | 80.6 | 557 | 78.7 | 563 |  |
|  | DK | 0.0 | 0 | 0.8 | 6 |  |
| Call the police. | Yes | 82.6 | 581 | 79.9 | 571 | . 01 |
|  | No | 17.4 | 122 | 19.0 | 136 |  |
|  | DK | 0.0 | 0 | 1.1 | 8 |  |
| Do nothing; it is their problem. | Yes | 5.4 | 37 | 6.4 | 46 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | No | 94.6 | 645 | 91.2 | 653 |  |
|  | DK | 0.0 | 0 | 2.4 | 17 |  |
| Mobilize the neighbors. | Yes | 81.3 | 567 | 77.3 | 554 | . 08 |
|  | No | 18.7 | 130 | 22.5 | 161 |  |
|  | DK | 0.0 | 0 | 0.3 | 2 |  |
| Response to majority of items. | Yes | 81.5 | 574 | 75.9 | 547 | . 009 |
|  | DK | 18.5 | 130 | 24.1 | 174 |  |
|  | Not responsive | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |  |

DK = Don't know

## Appendix 4, Table 10. Bystander responsiveness to gender-based violence, responses to

 individual statements, stratified by sex|  |  | Men |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  | Endline vs. Baseline | Baseline |  | Endline |  | Endline vs. Baseline |
|  |  | \% | n | \% | n |  | \% | n | \% | n |  |
| Intervene during the episode. | Yes | 89.8 | 361 | 75.7 | 314 | $\leq .001$ | 74.2 | 219 | 73.9 | 226 | . 23 |
|  | No | 10.2 | 41 | 23.1 | 96 |  | 25.8 | 76 | 25.2 | 77 |  |
|  | DK | 0.0 | 0 | 1.2 | 5 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 1.0 | 3 |  |
| Speak to the man after the episode. | Yes | 87.1 | 350 | 80.7 | 334 | . 013 | 62.4 | 184 | 70.7 | 215 | . 05 |
|  | No | 12.9 | 52 | 19.3 | 80 |  | 37.6 | 111 | 28.9 | 88 |  |
|  | DK | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0.3 | 1 |  |
| Avoid, shun the man. | Yes | 14.9 | 59 | 17.6 | 72 | . 08 | 25.5 | 75 | 24.3 | 74 | . 36 |
|  | No | 85.1 | 338 | 81.5 | 334 |  | 74.5 | 219 | 75.1 | 229 |  |
|  | DK | 0.0 | 0 | 1.0 | 4 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0.7 | 2 |  |
| Call the police. | Yes | 81.7 | 330 | 79.0 | 324 | . 07 | 83.9 | 251 | 81.0 | 247 | . 18 |
|  | No | 18.3 | 74 | 19.8 | 81 |  | 16.1 | 48 | 18.0 | 55 |  |
|  | DK | 0.0 | 0 | 1.2 | 5 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 1.0 | 3 |  |
| Do nothing; it is their problem. | Yes | 5.2 | 20 | 6.1 | 25 | . 002 | 5.8 | 17 | 6.9 | 21 | . 12 |
|  | No | 94.8 | 368 | 90.8 | 374 |  | 94.2 | 277 | 91.8 | 279 |  |
|  | DK | 0.0 | 0 | 3.2 | 13 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 1.3 | 4 |  |
| Mobilize the neighbors. | Yes | 81.6 | 328 | 79.9 | 330 | . 54 | 81.0 | 239 | 73.7 | 224 | . 05 |
|  | No | 18.4 | 74 | 20.1 | 83 |  | 19.0 | 56 | 25.7 | 78 |  |
|  | DK | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0.7 | 2 |  |

DK = Don't know

Appendix 4, Table 11. IMAGES questions about rape myths, responses to individual statements, total sample

|  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  | p Endline vs. Baseline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% | n | \% | n |  |
| When a woman is raped, she usually did something careless to put herself in that situation. | Strongly agree | 4.6 | 32 | 7.8 | 56 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Agree | 23.3 | 164 | 8.7 | 63 |  |
|  | Disagree | 19.5 | 137 | 29.4 | 212 |  |
|  | Strongly disagree | 52.6 | 370 | 54.1 | 390 |  |
| In some rape cases, women actually want it to happen. | Strongly agree | 4.1 | 29 | 3.7 | 27 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Agree | 11.9 | 84 | 4.7 | 34 |  |
|  | Disagree | 17.9 | 126 | 27.3 | 197 |  |
|  | Strongly disagree | 66.1 | 465 | 64.2 | 463 |  |
| If a woman doesn't physically fight back, you can't really say it was rape. | Strongly agree | 15.4 | 108 | 12.6 | 91 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Agree | 22.3 | 157 | 5.0 | 36 |  |
|  | Disagree | 13.8 | 97 | 26.6 | 192 |  |
|  | Strongly disagree | 48.5 | 341 | 55.8 | 402 |  |
| In any rape case, one would have to question whether the victim has various sexual partners or has a bad reputation. | Strongly agree | 39.8 | 277 | 51.0 | 367 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Agree | 22.3 | 155 | 7.1 | 51 |  |
|  | Disagree | 14.9 | 104 | 16.1 | 116 |  |
|  | Strongly disagree | 23.0 | 160 | 25.8 | 186 |  |

Appendix 4, Table 12. IMAGES questions about rape myths, responses to individual statements, stratified by sex

|  |  | Men |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Baseline |  | Endline |  | p value | Baseline |  | Endline |  | pvalue |
|  |  | \% | N | \% | n |  | \% | n | \% | n |  |
| When a woman is raped, she usually did something careless to put herself in that situation. | Strongly agree | 4.5 | 18 | 9.2 | 38 | $\leq .001$ | 4.7 | 14 | 5.9 | 18 | . 002 |
|  | Agree | 29.2 | 118 | 8.9 | 37 |  | 15.4 | 46 | 8.5 | 26 |  |
|  | Disagree | 19.1 | 77 | 28.0 | 116 |  | 20.1 | 60 | 31.4 | 96 |  |
|  | Strongly disagree | 47.3 | 191 | 54.0 | 224 |  | 59.9 | 179 | 54.2 | 166 |  |
| In some rape cases, women actually want it to happen. | Strongly agree | 3.0 | 12 | 3.6 | 15 | $\leq .001$ | 5.7 | 17 | 3.9 | 12 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Agree | 18.0 | 73 | 5.1 | 21 |  | 3.7 | 11 | 4.2 | 13 |  |
|  | Disagree | 21.7 | 88 | 27.2 | 113 |  | 12.7 | 38 | 27.5 | 84 |  |
|  | Strongly disagree | 57.3 | 232 | 64.1 | 266 |  | 77.9 | 233 | 64.4 | 197 |  |
| If a woman doesn't physically fight back, you can't really say it was rape. | Strongly agree | 10.4 | 42 | 10.8 | 45 | $\leq .001$ | 22.1 | 66 | 15.0 | 46 | $\leq .001$ |
|  | Agree | 28.7 | 116 | 5.8 | 24 |  | 13.7 | 41 | 3.9 | 12 |  |
|  | Disagree | 14.4 | 58 | 26.5 | 110 |  | 13.0 | 39 | 26.8 | 82 |  |
|  | Strongly disagree | 46.5 | 188 | 56.9 | 236 |  | 51.2 | 153 | 54.2 | 166 |  |
| In any rape case, one would have to question whether the victim has various sexual partners or has a bad reputation. | Strongly agree | 37.8 | 152 | 53.7 | 223 | $\leq .001$ | 42.5 | 125 | 47.2 | 144 | . 09 |
|  | Agree | 29.6 | 119 | 6.7 | 28 |  | 12.2 | 36 | 7.5 | 23 |  |
|  | Disagree | 13.2 | 53 | 12.0 | 50 |  | 17.3 | 51 | 21.6 | 66 |  |
|  | Strongly disagree | 19.4 | 78 | 27.5 | 114 |  | 27.9 | 82 | 23.6 | 72 |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In 1991, the Angolan government created a Secretariat of State for the Promotion and Development of Women; in 1997, the name of the agency was changed to MINFAMU.

